


**Verification report for  
GS4GG project activities  
(Gold Standard for the Global Goals)**

**BASIC INFORMATION**

<b>Title of the GS4GG Programme</b>	PoA: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America”
<b>GS ID of Programme</b>	PoA: GS1988
<b>Title of the VPA(s) covered</b>	VPA: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras”
<b>GS ID (s) of Project (s)</b>	VPA: GS2758
<b>Version number of the verification and certification report</b>	1.2
<b>Completion date of the verification and certification report</b>	30/05/2023
<b>Monitoring period number and duration of this monitoring period</b>	13 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period Duration: 01/12/2021 – 31/12/2022(inclusive of both days)
<b>Version number of the monitoring report to which this report applies</b>	Version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023
<b>Crediting period of the project activity corresponding to this monitoring period</b>	01/05/ 2016 — 30/04/2023
<b>Project representative</b>	Esther Adams, Program Manager <a href="mailto:eadams@proyectomirador.org">eadams@proyectomirador.org</a> +1 (415) 925-1887
<b>Host Party</b>	Honduras
<b>Applied methodologies and standardized baselines</b>	Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, Version 2.0
<b>Activity requirements applied</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community Services Activities <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable Energy Activities <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use and Forestry Activities/Risks & Capacities <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

<b>Mandatory sectoral scopes</b>		Sectoral Scope 3: Energy Demand	
<b>Product requirements applied</b>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GHG Emissions Reduction & Sequestration <input type="checkbox"/> Renewable Energy Label <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
<b>Sustainable Development Goals Targeted</b>	<b>SDG Impact</b>	<b>Total amount of certified SDG impact (as per approved methodology) achieved in this monitoring period</b>	<b>Units/Products</b>
<b>SDG 13 Climate Action</b>	Emission Reduction	280,039	VERs
<b>SDG 1 No Poverty</b>	USD saved per week per household	1.54	USD
<b>SDG 1 No Poverty</b>	Reduction in time spent collecting fuelwood	45%	%
<b>SDG 2 Zero Hunger</b>	Wood purchasers report they used the money saved to buy food	63%	%
<b>SDG 3 Good Health and Well-Being</b>	Reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	47%	%
<b>SDG 4 Quality Education</b>	Annual training hours provided	1,786	Hours
<b>SDG 5 Gender Equality</b>	Satisfaction among stove beneficiaries	97%	%
<b>SDG 5 Gender Equality</b>	Stove users report improved cooking times	96%	%
<b>SDG 5 Gender Equality</b>	Mirador's direct employees are women	22% (direct employees); 7% (employees overall, including all field personnel)	%

<b>SDG 7</b> <b>Affordable and Clean Energy</b>	Reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention	79%	%
<b>SDG 8</b> <b>Decent Work and Economic Growth</b>	Jobs created	205	Number of jobs
<b>SDG 8</b> <b>Decent Work and Economic Growth</b>	Job satisfaction rate	99%	%
<b>SDG 15</b> <b>Life on Land</b>	Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area	69%	%
<b>SDG 15</b> <b>Life on Land</b>	Baseline and project household fuel consumption	Pb,y 0.013130, Pp,y 0.009238613 Pp,b,y 0.003892 (Net benefit)	t/household/day
<b>Name of the Gold Standard approved auditor (VVB)</b>	Earthood Services Private Limited		
<b>Name, position and signature of the approver of the verification and certification report</b>	 Ashok Gautam Director		

## SECTION A. Executive summary

### Description of PoA and specific case VPA

The programme of activities titled “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America” by Coordinating/Managing Entity (Proyecto Mirador Foundation) utilizes carbon finance to support the dissemination of improved cookstoves that address the problems of deforestation, indoor air quality, global warming and slow economic development.

The registered GS VPA entitled: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America - First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” includes dissemination of highly efficient Cookstoves in Honduras.

The project reduces carbon emissions by providing efficient cookstoves, which help in burning the fuel efficiently and completely. Also, it reduces soot and black carbon found in products of incomplete combustion thereby improving the environmental and health condition of the user as well. The project will lead to reduction in respiratory illness caused by inhalation of toxic smoke and will help in reducing indoor air pollution.

Proyecto Mirador Foundation has contracted Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood) to conduct the verification and certification of emission reductions reported for the GS VPA, GS2758- “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” under the GS registered PoA 1988 “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America” in Honduras for the period 01/12/2021 - 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both days).

This report contains the findings of the verification process and a certification statement for the certified emission reductions. The verification is the periodic independent review and ex post determination by Earthood of the monitored reductions in GHG emissions that have occurred as a result of the registered GS project activity during a defined monitoring period. Certification is the written assurance by Earthood that, during the specified period of time, the project activity achieved the verifiable emission reductions.

Thus, the objective of this verification was to verify and certify emission reductions reported for the VPA “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” for the period 01/12/2021 - 31/12/2021(inclusive of both days).

During the current monitoring period from 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both days), the PoA has resulted in emission reductions of 280,039 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. The SDG benefits achieved from the Programme of Activity are listed in the table below in detail:

Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Amount Achieved
SDG 13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Emission Reductions	280,039
SDG1 No Poverty	USD saved per week per household	1.54
SDG1 No Poverty	Reduction in time spent collecting fuelwood	45%
SDG 2 Zero Hunger	Wood purchasers report they used the money saved to buy food	63%

SDG 3 Good Health and Well-Being	Reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	47%
SDG 4 Quality Education	Annual training hours provided	1,786
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Satisfaction among stove beneficiaries	97%
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Stove users report improved cooking times	96%
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Mirador's direct employees are women	22% (direct employees) 7% (employees overall, including all field personnel)
SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention	79%
SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Jobs created	205
SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Job satisfaction rate	99%
SDG 15 Life on Land	Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area	69%
SDG 15 Life on Land	Baseline household fuel consumption	0.013130
SDG 15 Life on Land	Project household fuel consumption	0.009238613

### Scope of Verification

This verification is an independent and objective review for determination of the monitored SDG outcomes and reductions in GHG emissions by the VVB. The verification addresses the implementation and operation of the GS VPA and tests the data and assertions set out in the monitoring report based on the following:

- (i) The approved methodology "Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), Version 2.0"/5/
- (ii) The registered PoA-DD/1/ & registered VPA-DD/2/ and monitoring plan
- (iii) Principles and Requirements for GS4GG, version 1.2/26/
- (iv) Validation and Verification Body requirements, GHG Product requirements and references relevant to the VPA's reported SDG outcomes
- (v) GS4GG Transition Annexure (approved) dated 13/04/2019/6/

The verification has considered both quantitative and qualitative aspects on stated/reported emission reductions. The monitoring report (all versions) and corresponding supporting documentation was assessed in accordance with the rules defined by UNFCCC and GS for GG, as appropriate to the PoA. The verification is not meant to provide any consulting or recommendations to the CME/others. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the monitoring activities.

### Verification Process:

The verification process is conducted as per internal GS Requirements, which includes the following steps;

- a) Contract with CME and appointment of verification team and technical review team (refer Section B.1 and B.2 of this report)
- b) Desk review (refer Section D.1 of this report) of Monitoring Report and corresponding ER sheet by verification team and planning of onsite audit (including sampling approach (refer Section D.4 of this report) to be applied)
- c) On-site audit (refer Section D.2 of this report) by verification team consistent of Team Leader and all Technical Experts, as a minimum
- d) Follow up activities e.g., interviews (refer Section D.3 of this report)
- e) Reporting and closure of findings (CARs/CLs/FARs) and preparation of draft verification report (refer Section D.5 of this report)
- f) Independent technical review (refer Section B.2 of this report) of the draft verification report and final/revised documentation (e.g., Monitoring Report, corresponding ER sheet and supporting evidences)
- g) Reporting and closure of TR comments/findings (refer Section D.5 of this report) (CARs/CLs/FARs) and final approval for the decision made (refer Section G and H of this report).
- h) Issuance of final verification report to contracted CME (or authorized representatives) and submission of request for issuance, as appropriate.

**Verification Conclusion:**

Based on the outcome of the verification process of the PoA “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America” and its VPA01 “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution Of Dos Por Tres Cookstoves In Honduras” for the monitoring period 01/12/2021– 31/12/2022(including both dates), we confirm that the implementation of referenced registered PoA and its VPA01 is complying with applicable CDM and GS rules and regulations as stated in the Monitoring Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023. The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology “Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), Version 2.0”/5/ and the monitoring plan contained in the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DD/2/ and "Gold Standard for Global Goals Transition Annexure", dated 13/04/2019 /6/.

Earthood Services Private Limited is able to certify that the emission reductions from the registered PoA (GS 1988) “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America” and its VPA01 “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos Por Tres Cookstoves In Honduras” for the monitoring period 01/12/2021– 31/12/2022(including both dates) amount to 280,039 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. Therefore, this is being submitted for request for issuance, as per Gold Standard procedures.

**SECTION B. Verification team, technical reviewer and approver**

**B.1. Verification team members**

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)	Involvement in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Verification findings
1.	Team Leader	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central office	Y	Y	Y	Y

2.	GS approved auditor	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central office	Y	Y	Y	Y
3.	Verifier	IR	Kalita	Jahnabi	Central office	Y	N	N	Y
4.	Technical Expert (TA 3.1)	IR	Singh	Kaviraj	Central office	Y	Y	Y	Y
5.	Local expert	EI	Cardona	Rommel	Central office	Y	Y	Y	Y

## B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the verification and certification report

No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of VVB or outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Mahala	Deepika	Central Office
2.	Technical expert (TA 3.1)	IR	Mahala	Deepika	Central Office
3.	Approver	IR	Gautam	Ashok	Central Office

## SECTION C. Application of materiality in conducting the verification

### C.1. Consideration of materiality in planning the verification

No.	Risk that could lead to material errors, omissions or misstatements	Assessment of the risk		Response to the risk in the verification plan and/or sampling plan
		Risk level	Justification	
1.	Erroneous transfer of information from documented records (sales database, installation records, carbon transfer form etc.) to ER sheet/database.	Low	The documents are also subjected to an internal check to ensure the accuracy of data entry.	On a sampling basis, the records are checked with the information from database and substantiated by onsite observations.
2.	Error in applying the formulae in the emission reduction calculation sheet	Low	The calculation method has been prescribed in the applied methodologies and further detailed in the registered PoA-DD. There isn't any complex equation involved in the ER calculations. Also, the internal check ensures that such errors are identified in advance.	The emission reduction calculation sheet has been reviewed in detail by the assessment team. Each step for the calculation has been thoroughly checked to confirm the final numbers.

### C.2. Consideration of materiality in conducting the verification

All errors identified were individual error and no extrapolation was required. The verification team conforms that the final ERs are free from material errors with reasonable level of assurance.

## **SECTION D. Means of verification**

### **D.1. Desk/document review**

The verification is performed primarily as a desk review of the documents submitted at various stages of assessments. The review is performed by assessment team using dedicated protocols (checklists). The assessment team cross checks the information provided in the documents (MR) and information from sources other than those used, if available, and also conducts independent background investigations. Earthood conducted a desk review as under;

- A review of the data and information presented to verify their completeness;
- A review of the monitoring plan, the monitoring methodology including applicable tool(s) and, where applicable, the applied standardized baseline, paying particular attention to the frequency of measurements, the quality of metering equipment including calibration requirements, and the quality assurance and quality control procedures;
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions;
- An evaluation of data management and the quality assurance and quality control system in the context of their influence on the generation and reporting of emission reductions;

The list of documents reviewed during the verification is provided under appendix 3 of this report.



## D.2. On-site inspection

Duration of on-site inspection: 16/01/2023-20/01/2023				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Opening Meeting	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
2.	Implementation and operation of project activity (project boundary, project technology) as per registered PoA DD/ VPA DD	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
3.	Management and monitoring procedures, data collection and archiving systems followed at project site	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
4.	Interview of CME representatives, monitoring personnel and end-users (as per as VVB sampling plan)	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
5.	Management and operational system: Database management, allocation of responsibilities, qualification and training, ICS distribution, monitoring survey, internal audit and management review	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
6.	Verification checklist: acceptability (or otherwise) of CME's monitoring survey records, compliance of monitoring procedures with registered PoA DD/ VPA DD and applied monitoring methodology	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
7.	Review of monitored data and relevant document in accordance with registered monitoring plan and applied monitoring methodology	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
8.	Review of ER calculations in accordance with applied methodology and relevant tools	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
9.	Closing Meeting	Honduras	16/01/2023-20/01/2023	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

## D.3. Interviews

No.	Interviewee		Affiliation	Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name				
1.	Hernandez	Ivan	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	PoA Management system, VPA implementation, ICS distribution mechanism,	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
2.	Mendoza	Elder	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	ER calculations, Monitoring Report	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

3.	Hernandez	Heydi	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	Database management, Sales records	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
4.	Espania	Cormen	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	Monitoring procedures, Monitoring survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
5.	Morodiago	Luis	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	Monitoring surveys, Sampling methodology	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
6.	Mendoza	Rafael	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	Training procedures	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
7.	Rodriguez	Reniep	Proyecto Mirador	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	Quality Assurance and Quality control procedures	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
8.	Santos	LA Julio Cesar Alberto	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
9.	Reyes	EL Orfa Saravia	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
10.	Aguilar	SA Maria Justina Hernandez	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
11.	Aguilar	SA Maria Georgina Hernandez	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
12.	Melgar	TE Francisco Rivera	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
13.	Lopez	TE Blanca Dilma Cartagena	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
14.	Reyes	LA María Isabel Ventura	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
15.	Núñez	LA María Concepción Fernández	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
16.	Lainez Ayala	LO María Cristina	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
17.	Rivas	MA Gumercinda López	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
18.	Ramos	CO Glenda Beatriz	End user (0-1)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
19.	Villacorta	SA Jose ramon Mancia	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
20.	Lopez	GR Alba luz Henriquez	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

21.	Castillo	SA Karen Julissa	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
22.	Hernandez ortiz	SA MARIA REINA	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
23.	Sanchez	QU Fredi Garcia	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
24.	Trancito Jiron	LA Maria Del	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
25.	Lopez Sanchez	SA Maria Angelica	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
26.	Orellana	VI Rosa julia	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
27.	Maldonado	EL Keny Yamir Mendez	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
28.	Santos	LA Reina consuelo	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
29.	Lorenzo	NU Cristina	End user (1-2)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
30.	Enamorado	SA Carmen Yamileth	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
31.	Bautista Goselin	SA Koritza Marilu	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
32.	Castellanos Trochez	LA Maria Isabel	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
33.	Enamorado	SA Olga Marina Orellana	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
34.	Castellanos	LA Leonila	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
35.	Mendoza calderon	SA Nicanor	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
36.	Castejon Bautista	SA Dimitila	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
37.	Pineda orellana	SA Alba denly	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
38.	Gonzales garcia	LA Erika taina	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

39.	Garcia Gonzales	QU Angelina	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
40.	González	MA Narcisa	End user (2-3)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
41.	Hernandez Vasquez	NE Dina Marta	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
42.	Lopez Dubon	SA Ada Marina	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
43.	Perdomo Nuñez	EL Delmy Maribel	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
44.	Perdomo Sabillon	EL Maria Trinidad	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
45.	Paz Paz	EL Claudina	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
46.	Rodriguez Perdomo	EL Kenia Marilin	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
47.	Cano Martinez	SA Adelina	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
48.	Yanes Gutierrez	SA Braulia	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
49.	Cano Martinez	SA Nolvis Maribel	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
50.	Bacilia Medina	SA Maria	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
51.	Martinez López	SO Teresa	End user (3-4)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
52.	Gonzales	Narcisa	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
53.	Hernandez	Angeline Garcia	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
54.	Gonzales	María Berta Sanchez	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
55.	Gomez	Dario Gomez	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
56.	Gutierrez Gonzales	María Dolores	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

57.	Gonzales Garcia	Erika Taina	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
58.	Melgar Calix	Zoila Rosara	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
59.	Martínez	Selma Dinora	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
60.	Laz Castillo	Sindy Yaneth	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
61.	López	Glenda Maribel	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
62.	López	Zacarias Lemus	End user (4-5)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
63.	Nolasco	Jose fidel	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
64.	Lopez	Gloria Mendez	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
65.	Benitez Sanchez	Denia Xiomara	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
66.	Navarro Diaz	Maria Gregoria	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
67.	Hernandez lemuz	Martina	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
68.	Mejía	Victoria	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
69.	Bautista	Elvira Rodriguez	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
70.	Martínez	Delia Inestroza	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
71.	Amaya	Teodora Vasquez	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
72.	Amaya	Vilma Yamileth Vasquez	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona
73.	Carrillo	Marciana Lorenzo	End user (5-6)	16/01/2023 - 20/01/2023	VVB Field Survey	Kaviraj Singh and Rommel Cardona

#### D.4. Sampling approach

##### CME's sampling Approach:

Please refer section E.5.6. for assessment of CME's plan in detail.

##### VVB's Sampling Approach

The assessment team has followed a acceptance sampling approach for verification purposes. Sampling was done across the VPA in a random manner but considering the principles of proportional representation and keeping in line with "Standard for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities, Version 9.0"/29/.

Proyecto Mirador has applied a sampling approach which is sufficiently representative of the stove population w.r.t to the numbers, vintage and geographical spread. The procedure adopted by the project for doing onsite surveys was verified through interviews with the project staff and results are corroborated by visual inspection and the results were matched with the centralised database (Salesforce).

The verification team determined the sample size for acceptance sampling by evaluating the following, using its own professional judgement and guidance in the Standard 'Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities, Version 9.0' /29/:

- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that can be considered acceptable. This is referred to as the AQL (Acceptable Quality Level): 0.5% was considered in this verification.
- The proportion of discrepancies between the CME's data and verification team's (field or onsite inspection results) data that would be considered unacceptable. This is the UQL (Unacceptable Quality Level): 20% was considered in this verification.
- The producer risk and consumer risk of 10% was considered.

Considering the above input values, a sample size of 11 was required as per Table 2 in the referred Standard for this monitoring period. Accordingly, acceptance number (c) thus determined for the sample size is 0. A sample size of 11 meets the criteria. The samples to be surveyed by VVB were randomly selected from the list of monitored samples using the random sample generation function on Microsoft excel.

Earthood has applied acceptance sampling as part of this verification activity by choosing a sample of 11 households randomly for each age group which are representative of the stove age and the geographical distribution from the overall stove data sampled by the project representatives for determining the usage rates. In total, 66 samples were randomly selected (11 samples from each age group) which had been surveyed by the CME.

The data presented is consistent and the records presented matched the salesforce data in the centralized system. The status of the stove installed in each house was checked through both survey and through the data available from salesforce.com. The location of the households, and the government IDs were also checked against the data reported. Information outlined in section E.5.4.2 was checked for these households. The IDs of the households visited, their locations and the surveys are available on request. The results of VVB survey were same as CME's survey results.

#### D.5. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests raised

Areas of verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
General			
Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form	-	-	-



Remaining forward action requests from previous verification	-	-	-
Specific-case VPA(s) considered for verification and covered in this report	-	-	-
Programme of activities			
Compliance of the programme implementation with the registered PoA-DD	-	-	-
Implementation and operation of the management system	-	-	-
Post-registration changes	-	-	-
Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline	-	-	-
Corrections	-	-	-
Inclusion of a monitoring plan in a registered PoA-DD (including its generic VPA-DD(s))	-	-	-
Permanent changes to the monitoring plan as described in the registered PoA-DD, applied methodology, or applied standardized baseline	-	-	-
Changes to the programme design of the registered PoA-DD (including corresponding changes to project design of the generic VPA-DD(s)) and updates to the eligibility criteria for inclusion of specific-case VPAs in the PoA	-	-	-
Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation activities	-	-	-
Voluntary project activities			
Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document	-	CAR#01	-
Post-registration changes	-	-	-
Temporary deviations from registered monitoring plan, applied methodology or applied standardized baseline	-	-	-
Corrections	-	-	-
Changes to the start date of the crediting period	-	-	-
Inclusion of a monitoring plan to an included VPA-DD	-	-	-
Permanent changes to the monitoring plan as described in the included VPA-DD, applied methodology, or applied standardized baseline	-	-	-
Changes to the programme design of the included VPA-DD	-	-	-
Types of changes specific to afforestation and reforestation component project activities	-	-	-
Compliance of the monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology including applicable tool and standardized baseline	-	-	-
Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan	-	-	-
Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period	-	-	-
Data and parameters monitored	CL#01	-	-
Implementation of sampling plan	-	-	-
Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments	-	-	-
Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals	-	CAR#01	-
Calculation of baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals by sinks	-	-	-

Calculation of project GHG emissions or actual net GHG removals by sinks	-	-	-
Calculation of leakage GHG emissions	-	-	-
Summary of calculation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks	-	-	-
Comparison of actual GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals by sinks with estimates in included specific-case CPA	-	CAR#01	-
Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered VPA-DD	-	-	-
Assessment of reported sustainable development co-benefits			
Global stakeholder consultation			
Others (please specify)	-	-	-
Total	01	01	00

## SECTION E. Verification findings

### E.1. Compliance of the monitoring report with the monitoring report form

<b>Means of verification</b>	The Gold Standard for Global Goals prescribes a template for MR. Therefore, the CME has used the latest GS4GG MR template form version 1.1/25/ which has been issued by Gold Standards on 14/10/2020. In addition, all the GS4GG requirements are included in accordance with the Principles and requirements/26/.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	The verification team confirms the compliance of the monitoring report with the latest version of the GS monitoring report template and the instructions therein for filling out the form.

### E.2. Remaining forward action requests from validation and/or previous verification

No forward action requests were issued from previous verification/30,37/.

### E.3. VPA(s) considered for verification and covered in this report

Title and GS reference number of the VPA included in the PoA as of the end of this monitoring period	Is the VPA considered for this verification? (yes/no)	Version of the PoA-DD	Confirmation that a request for issuance including the VPA has been published for the previous monitoring period (Y/N)
Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras GS 2758	Yes	Version 6.0	Yes



#### E.4. Programme of activities

##### E.4.1. Compliance of the programme implementation with the registered programme design document

<p><b>Means of verification</b></p>	<p>The programme of activity titled “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America” aims to replace traditional, inefficient fogón biomass cookstove with the improved Dos por Tres plancha-style chimney cookstove. The project operations are headquartered Colonia Suyapa, Barrio Gualjoco in the municipality of Santa Bárbara, in Santa Bárbara Department, Honduras (14°56’49.1”N and 88°14’23”W), with administrative offices in Greenbrae, California, USA. The current verification covers the first VPA entitled “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” under the PoA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” in the country of Honduras. Proyecto Mirador Foundation is the CME for the PoA /1/ and manages the distribution and management of this VPA.</p> <p>All the deployed systems meet the eligibility requirements of the PoA DD/1/. The assessment team confirms that the distribution of cookstoves has been done only in Honduras (physical boundary) and therefore the geographical boundaries of the implemented PoA are in line to the accepted PoA-DD /1/. Further during the on-site check, the stoves claimed by the CME were checked and found to be in-line with the technical description provided in the registered PoA-DD/1/.</p> <p>Further, based on the review of records of distribution by CME/9/, onsite observations and interview, the verification team confirms that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The VPA is implemented within the boundary of the PoA as described in the revised accepted PoA-DD/1/.</li> <li>• The CME is the same as that mentioned in the revised accepted PoA-DD/1/.</li> <li>• The implementation and operation of the project activity has been conducted in accordance with the description contained in the revised accepted PoA-DD/1/ and revised accepted VPA-DD/2/.</li> <li>• All physical features of the VPA proposed in the revised accepted VPA-DD/2/ are in place.</li> </ul> <p>The information (including data and variables) as mentioned in the MR/3/ is found to be in line with the details provided in the revised accepted PoA-DD/1/. The verification team found the project description contained in MR to be complete and accurate and was found to be in-line with the revised accepted PoA-DD/01/.</p> <p><b>Grievance Mechanism:</b></p> <p>An Electronic Feedback Log using is maintained electronically at the project office and an export of the feedback log was obtained (VP13-15 Stakeholder Comment 2022.xlsx)/19/. The CME take follow-up after the complaints are registered and get the issue resolved. The assessment team have checked the compilation of all the comments raised during the current monitoring period, VP13-15 Stakeholder Comment 2022.xlsx/19/ and confirms that all the end-user comments received during the current monitoring period were resolved by the CME effectively. It was also checked with the end-users that the households are visited by the supervisors and the household feedback is recorded/19/.</p>
<p><b>Findings</b></p>	<p>No issues were found</p>

<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>In view of the information verified through the onsite audit and interviews, the verification team is able to confirm that all physical features (technology, project equipment, and monitoring and metering equipment) of the registered program of activities were in place and that the CME has operated the project activity as per the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DD/2/ during the concerned monitoring period.</p> <p>The emission reductions achieved during the current monitoring period are 280,039 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. The VPA has successfully achieved SDGs by values listed below:</p>			
	Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Amount Achieved	Units/ Products
	SDG 13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Emission Reductions	280,039	VERs
	SDG 1 No Poverty	USD saved per week per household	1.54	USD
	SDG 1 No Poverty	Reduction in time spent collecting fuelwood	45%	%
	SDG 2 Zero Hunger	Wood purchasers report they used the money saved to buy food	63%	%
	SDG 3 Good Health and Well-Being	Reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	47%	%
	SDG 4 Quality Education	Annual training hours provided	1,786	Hours
	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Satisfaction among stove beneficiaries	97%	%
	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Stove users report improved cooking times	96%	%
	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Mirador's direct employees are women	22% (direct employees); 7% (employees overall, including all field personnel)	%
	SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention	79%	%
	SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Jobs created	205	Number of jobs

	SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Job satisfaction rate	99%	%
	SDG 15 Life on Land	Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area	69%	%
	SDG 15 Life on Land	Baseline and project household fuel consumption	Pb,y 0.013130, Pp,y 0.009238613 Pp,b,y 0.003892 (Net benefit)	t/household/day

#### E.4.2. Implementation and operation of the management system

<b>Means of verification</b>	<p>Based on the review of records and interview of CME representatives and monitoring team, during the on-site visit by the verification team, it is confirmed that the CME has implemented appropriate management and operational system for monitoring and reporting of emission reductions.</p> <p>The CME Proyecto Mirador Foundation managed the relevant activities prior to and post registration of the PoA. Appropriate trainings were provided to the staff and users of cook stove which could be verified through training records and photographs/35/.</p> <p>There is a clear definition of roles and responsibilities of personnel involved in the process of inclusion including a review of their competence. The end users to whom the ICS has been distributed are identified and recorded on salesforce software/27/ using key information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Date of installation</li> <li>• Location of installation</li> <li>• Model/type of stove installed</li> <li>• Model of use prior to installation of improved cookstove</li> <li>• Name of client</li> <li>• Government ID number of each client</li> <li>• Unique serial number applied to each stove</li> </ul> <p>The organizational structure and roles and responsibilities for monitoring are in line with the situation on the ground as confirmed through interviews with the CME representatives during the VVB onsite audit. The verification team thus confirms that the structure is considered appropriate.</p>
<b>Findings</b>	None
<b>Conclusion</b>	The verification team from the desk review and onsite audit check confirms that the monitoring management system of the PoA is in place with the responsibilities properly identified and established.

#### E.4.3. Post-Design Certification changes

##### E.4.3.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline

Not applicable

**E.4.3.2. Corrections**

Not applicable

**E.4.3.3. Changes to start date of crediting period**

Not applicable

**E.4.3.4. Permanent changes to the monitoring plan as described in the registered PoA-DD, applied methodology, or applied standardized baseline**

Not applicable

**E.4.3.5. Changes to project design of approved project**

Not applicable

**E.5. Voluntary project activity(ies)**

**E.5.1. Compliance of the VPA implementation with the included VPA design document**

<b>Means of verification</b>	<p>The VPA titled “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” aims to replace traditional, inefficient fogón biomass cookstove with the improved Dos por Tres plancha-style chimney cookstove in Honduras. The implementation of the VPA as mentioned above is within the geographical boundary of PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DD /2/, which has been verified during the on-site inspection and interviews of the CME representatives by the verification team.</p> <p>The CME, Proyecto Mirador Foundation manages project implementation, stove construction, and supply sourcing locally through the creation of local microenterprises. Such microenterprises include stove construction organizations, suppliers to provide specific stove construction components, and other vendors.</p> <p><b>Technology:</b></p> <p>As part of the VPA, Proyecto Mirador solely installed its own proprietary “<b>Dos por Tres</b>” model improved cookstoves replacing the less efficient baseline stove, traditional fogón. Carbon Monoxide emission and particulate matter are reduced by 79%, CO<sub>2</sub> by 43%, and CH<sub>4</sub> by 94% over traditional stoves with Dos por Tres/43/.</p> <p>The <b>Dos por Tres design</b> is directly installed at each home and consists of a ceramic firebox for the stove mouth, a steel plancha (cooktop), a chimney, and a sophisticated system of insulated interior walls constructed from adobe blocks or ceramic bricks that channels the heat under the plancha and smoke and particulates out the chimney.</p> <p>Dos por Tres has been modified structurally in many ways:          First, the grate in the stove mouth has been elevated slightly in order to raise the fuel off the stove floor, thus making the wood burn more thoroughly and efficiently. Second, the dimensions of the plancha have been changed, allowing the plancha to heat up faster and distribute the heat more evenly than before. Third, the plancha has been lowered closer to the level of the wood ash insulation in order to use the firepower of the stove more efficiently. Fourth, the chimney attachment has been modified to eliminate excess air circulation.</p>
------------------------------	--

	<p>The specifications were checked during the on-site inspections and was found to be inline with the VPA DD/2/. The installation dates of the Dos por Tres Cookstoves in the project location, Honduras were checked from the screenshots of salesforce database/27/. With each passing year, a new set of improved cook stoves enter the population count with the old ones being phased out.</p> <p>Review of installation database /27/ and monitoring results confirm that the methodology/standard threshold has not been compromised. The calculation provided in the ER sheet /4/ has been checked by the verification team and was found to be in line with the applied methodology/5/ and registered PoA DD/1/, VPA DD/2/.</p>
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification team confirms that physical features of the VPA have been implemented in accordance with the accepted VPA-DD/2/.</li> <li>It is also confirmed, through the review of the supporting documentation and on-site check that physical features of the component VPA have been implemented in accordance with the registered VPA-DD/2/.</li> <li>The VPA were also found to be completely operational in line with the registered VPA-DD/2/.</li> <li>The information provided in the relevant sections of the monitoring report appropriately describe the implementation and operational status of the PoA.</li> </ul>

**E.5.2. Post- Design Certification changes**

**E.5.2.1. Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, monitoring methodology or standardized baseline**

Not applicable

**E.5.2.2. Corrections**

Not applicable

**E.5.2.3. Changes to start date of crediting period**

Not applicable

**E.5.2.4. Permanent changes to the monitoring plan as described in the registered PoA-DD, applied methodology, or applied standardized baseline**

Not applicable

**E.5.2.5. Changes to project design of approved project**

Not applicable

**E.5.3. Compliance of monitoring plan with the monitoring methodology including applicable tool and standardized baseline**

<b>Means of verification</b>	<p>The monitoring plan in the revised accepted VPA DD/2/ were reviewed against the monitoring requirements of the applied methodology TPDDTEC, Version 2.0 /5/ as well as registered PoA-DD/1/ with reference to the technology involved.</p> <p>Based on this assessment, it was found that the monitoring plan in the VPA DD/2/ includes all the required parameters to be monitored in the context of the VPA design and description and allows proper determination of emission reductions in accordance with the revised accepted PoA DD/1/ and applied methodology/5/.</p>
<b>Findings</b>	No findings raised.

<b>Conclusion</b>	The monitoring plan is in line with the approved methodology, Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 2.0/5/, that is included in the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA-DD/2/.
-------------------	---

#### E.5.4. Compliance of monitoring activities with the registered monitoring plan

##### E.5.4.1. Data and parameters fixed ex ante or at renewal of crediting period

###### ID 1/ E<sub>fuel,CO<sub>2</sub></sub> : CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced, tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population</li> </ul>
<b>Means verification</b>	<b>of</b>	The value for this parameter is 112 tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ, which was sourced from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1, Volume 2: Energy/23/.
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/, The applied value is correct and justified.

###### ID 2/ E<sub>fuel,nonCO<sub>2</sub>,CH<sub>4</sub></sub> : CH<sub>4</sub> emission factor for the fuel that is reduced, tCO<sub>2e</sub>/TJ

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population</li> </ul>
<b>Means verification</b>	<b>of</b>	The value for this parameter is 0.30 tCO <sub>2e</sub> /TJ which was sourced from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1, Volume 2: Energy/23/.
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/, The applied value is correct and justified.

###### ID 3/ E<sub>fuel,nonCO<sub>2</sub>,N<sub>2</sub>O</sub> : N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor for wood that is reduced, tCO<sub>2e</sub>/TJ

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population</li> </ul>
<b>Means verification</b>	<b>of</b>	The value for this parameter is 0.004 tCO <sub>2e</sub> /TJ which was sourced from 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1, Volume 2: Energy/23/.
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/, The applied value is correct and justified.

###### ID 4 / NCV<sub>fuel</sub>, The Net Calorific Value (NCV) of the fuel that is substituted or reduced, , TJ/ton,

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population.</li> </ul>
<b>Means verification</b>	<b>of</b>	The value of this parameter 0.0186 TJ/ton was sourced from NCV for Red Oak, per Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, “WBT 4.2.4 Spreadsheet”/32/ with



	reference to Cheremisinoff, N. Properties of Wood. Wood for Energy Production. Ann Arbor, MI, Ann Arbor Science: 31-43. 1980/33/.
<b>Findings</b>	None
<b>Conclusion</b>	The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/, The applied value is correct and justified.

**EFp,non co2 : Non-CO2 emission factor arising from use of fuels in project scenario , tCO2/TJ**

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population.</li> </ul>
<b>Means of verification</b>	of	The value of this parameter 8.692 (for ERs achieved from 01/12/2019 to 31/12/2020) and 9.46 (for ERs achieved from 01/01/2021 onwards). The value was checked from GWP: IPCC AR4/45/ and GWP: IPCC AR5/46/ and found to be correct. <b>The parameters are not listed in the VPA DD, however, GS4GG prescribes to use the latest GWP/42/. Thus, it was found to be acceptable.</b>
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent, The applied value is correct and justified.

**EFb,non co2 : Non-CO2 emission factor arising from use of fuels in baseline scenario , tCO2/TJ**

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population.</li> </ul>
<b>Means of verification</b>	of	The value of this parameter 8.692 (for ERs achieved from 01/12/2019 to 31/12/2020) and 9.46 (for ERs achieved from 01/01/2021 onwards). The value was checked from GWP: IPCC AR4/45/ and GWP: IPCC AR5/46/and found to be correct. <b>The parameters are not listed in the VPA DD, however, GS4GG prescribes to use the latest GWP/42/. Thus, it was found to be acceptable.</b>
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent, The applied value is correct and justified.

**E.5.4.2 Data and parameters monitored (Carbon & SDG)**

**ID 5/ fNRB,b,y : The non-renewable fraction of the woody biomass harvested in the project collection area in year y in the baseline scenario, %**

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b>	15-Life on land <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15.2.1 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation</li> </ul>
<b>Means of verification</b>		The value of 69% was taken from a third-party NRB Analysis by Berkeley Air Monitoring Group (2011). The figure of 69% has been fixed at the time of revalidation which was found to be in accordance with Section III.1, item f, of the applied methodology, TPDDTEC, version 2.0/5/
<b>Findings</b>		None
<b>Conclusion</b>		The value mentioned in the Monitoring Report /3/ and Emission Reduction Spreadsheet /4/ are consistent with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/, The applied value is correct and justified.

**ID 6 / Np,y : Cumulative number of project technology-days included in the project database for project scenario p against baseline scenario b in year y, Number of project technology days**

<b>Relevant Indicator</b>	<b>SDG</b> 13 – Climate Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population</li> </ul>																
<b>Means of Verification</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="450 450 820 510">Criteria/Requirements</th> <th data-bbox="820 450 1388 510">Assessment/Observation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 510 820 600">Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency</td> <td data-bbox="820 510 1388 600">Ongoing(continuous)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 600 820 779">Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)</td> <td data-bbox="820 600 1388 779">Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 779 820 891">Monitoring equipment</td> <td data-bbox="820 779 1388 891">This is measured in smartphones and recorded on Salesforce.com installation database</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 891 820 981">Calibration frequency /interval:</td> <td data-bbox="820 891 1388 981">Not Applicable</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 981 820 1249">How were the values in the monitoring report verified?</td> <td data-bbox="820 981 1388 1249">44,757,680 days The value of the parameter is a function of the total stoves in use times days in operation 116,702 stoves were in operations at the end of the 13<sup>th</sup> monitoring period. The values were verified from the sales database/11/. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found to have the correct values.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 1249 820 1774">If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?</td> <td data-bbox="820 1249 1388 1774">Yes. The information provided in the database was verified randomly during the onsite visit interviewing the end users.  The verification team randomly selected 11 samples from each vintage (66 samples across all the age groups) for VVB's field survey and via on-site interview found out that all the stoves which were selected for sampling were installed at the household and were in working condition. The survey results were checked by the verification team and were found acceptable. The results in the corresponding ER sheet/4/ and monitoring methods were also found in-line with the monitoring plan of registered VPA-DD/2/.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="450 1774 820 1953">Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?</td> <td data-bbox="820 1774 1388 1953">The CME directly supervises the training of staff and provides guidelines to facilitate accurate record keeping in their database. During the site visit the sale process, record keeping was reviewed and were found reliable.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing(continuous)	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/	Monitoring equipment	This is measured in smartphones and recorded on Salesforce.com installation database	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	44,757,680 days The value of the parameter is a function of the total stoves in use times days in operation 116,702 stoves were in operations at the end of the 13 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period. The values were verified from the sales database/11/. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found to have the correct values.	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Yes. The information provided in the database was verified randomly during the onsite visit interviewing the end users.  The verification team randomly selected 11 samples from each vintage (66 samples across all the age groups) for VVB's field survey and via on-site interview found out that all the stoves which were selected for sampling were installed at the household and were in working condition. The survey results were checked by the verification team and were found acceptable. The results in the corresponding ER sheet/4/ and monitoring methods were also found in-line with the monitoring plan of registered VPA-DD/2/.	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The CME directly supervises the training of staff and provides guidelines to facilitate accurate record keeping in their database. During the site visit the sale process, record keeping was reviewed and were found reliable.
	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation															
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing(continuous)															
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/															
	Monitoring equipment	This is measured in smartphones and recorded on Salesforce.com installation database															
	Calibration frequency /interval:	Not Applicable															
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	44,757,680 days The value of the parameter is a function of the total stoves in use times days in operation 116,702 stoves were in operations at the end of the 13 <sup>th</sup> monitoring period. The values were verified from the sales database/11/. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found to have the correct values.															
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Yes. The information provided in the database was verified randomly during the onsite visit interviewing the end users.  The verification team randomly selected 11 samples from each vintage (66 samples across all the age groups) for VVB's field survey and via on-site interview found out that all the stoves which were selected for sampling were installed at the household and were in working condition. The survey results were checked by the verification team and were found acceptable. The results in the corresponding ER sheet/4/ and monitoring methods were also found in-line with the monitoring plan of registered VPA-DD/2/.															
Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	The CME directly supervises the training of staff and provides guidelines to facilitate accurate record keeping in their database. During the site visit the sale process, record keeping was reviewed and were found reliable.																
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised																



<b>Conclusion</b>	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/5/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/. The SDG impacts for the monitoring period were found to be within the estimated quantity in the registered PoA-DD/1/.
-------------------	---

**ID 7 / Pp,b,y : Specific fuel savings from an individual technology of project p against an individual technology of baseline b in year y, Average daily dry wood fuel reduction per person-meal (tonnes/household/day)**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	15 – Life on Land • 15.2.1 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation													
<b>Means of verification</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Criteria/Requirements</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Assessment/Observation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency</td> <td>Annual</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)</td> <td>Yes. The frequency is in line with the applied methodology/5/</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monitoring equipment</td> <td>Compact digital hanging scale Zipper polyethylene bag Moisture meter with digital readout</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Calibration frequency /interval:</td> <td>Digital hanging scale is calibrated before every study.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>How were the values in the monitoring report verified?</td> <td> <p>The value of the parameter for the current monitoring period is 0.003892 t/household/day.</p> <p>It was verified from the VP13-02 KPT data.xlsx/8/ that, 1,664 Kitchen Performance Tests (252 baseline and 1,412 project scenario) were performed between 2010 and 2022 in multiple villages of Honduras across all the stove groups.</p> <p>The KPTs are conducted for 4 days for project scenario fuelwood consumption for each age group of stoves as verified from VP13-03 KPT data sheet.pdf/9/.</p> <p>The value of the parameter reported in the ER sheet/4/, where it has been calculated using the fuel savings per personal meal grouped on the basis of age group was verified from VP13-02 KPT data/8/. The verified value of the parameter is 0.003892 t/household/day. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the applied methodology/5/	Monitoring equipment	Compact digital hanging scale Zipper polyethylene bag Moisture meter with digital readout	Calibration frequency /interval:	Digital hanging scale is calibrated before every study.	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter for the current monitoring period is 0.003892 t/household/day.</p> <p>It was verified from the VP13-02 KPT data.xlsx/8/ that, 1,664 Kitchen Performance Tests (252 baseline and 1,412 project scenario) were performed between 2010 and 2022 in multiple villages of Honduras across all the stove groups.</p> <p>The KPTs are conducted for 4 days for project scenario fuelwood consumption for each age group of stoves as verified from VP13-03 KPT data sheet.pdf/9/.</p> <p>The value of the parameter reported in the ER sheet/4/, where it has been calculated using the fuel savings per personal meal grouped on the basis of age group was verified from VP13-02 KPT data/8/. The verified value of the parameter is 0.003892 t/household/day. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found</p>
Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation													
Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual													
Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the applied methodology/5/													
Monitoring equipment	Compact digital hanging scale Zipper polyethylene bag Moisture meter with digital readout													
Calibration frequency /interval:	Digital hanging scale is calibrated before every study.													
How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter for the current monitoring period is 0.003892 t/household/day.</p> <p>It was verified from the VP13-02 KPT data.xlsx/8/ that, 1,664 Kitchen Performance Tests (252 baseline and 1,412 project scenario) were performed between 2010 and 2022 in multiple villages of Honduras across all the stove groups.</p> <p>The KPTs are conducted for 4 days for project scenario fuelwood consumption for each age group of stoves as verified from VP13-03 KPT data sheet.pdf/9/.</p> <p>The value of the parameter reported in the ER sheet/4/, where it has been calculated using the fuel savings per personal meal grouped on the basis of age group was verified from VP13-02 KPT data/8/. The verified value of the parameter is 0.003892 t/household/day. The ER sheet/4/ was checked for the calculations and was found</p>													

		to be in-line with the monitoring plan of registered VPA-DD/2/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	Not applicable
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. Equipment used during KPT is calibrated at the start of each study. Calibration details has been explained in section E.5.7 of this report. The personnel responsible for carrying out KPT studies are well trained to oversee data collection and to spot potential errors in the reported figures.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/5/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/. The SDG impacts for the monitoring period were found to be within the estimated quantity in the registered PoA-DD.	

**ID 8 / Up,y : Abandonment (drop-off) rate (the number of stoves that have fallen out of use in a given age group), %of households**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	13 – Climate Action • 13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population		
<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Criteria/Requirements</b>	<b>Assessment/Observation</b>	
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual	
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/	
	Monitoring equipment	The CME have conducted the usage survey compiled by handheld device and uploaded to Salesforce.com database	
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The following monitored cumulative abandonment rates were applied for the 13 <sup>th</sup> Verification Period:	
	<b>Age</b>	<b>Drop-off</b>	<b>Usage</b>

	Age 0-1 (Year 1)	10.00% [8.93%]	90.00% [91.07%]	
	Age 1-2 (Year 2)	14.68%	85.32%	
	Age 2-3 (Year 3)	19.24%	80.76%	
	Age 3-4 (Year 4)	22.17%	77.83%	
	Age 4-5 (Year 5)	27.53%	72.47%	
	Age 5-6 (Year 6)	28.44%	71.56%	
	Weighted usage rate		80%	
	<p>The average age of stove at the time of the survey for each age group is as follows:</p> <p>Year 0_1 0.50            Year 1_2 1.50            Year 2_3 2.50            Year 3_4 3.50            Year 4_5 4.50            Year 5_6 5.71</p> <p>For the current monitoring period, the CME has applied "Good Practice Monitoring Requirements". In compliance with para 2.3.1 of REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES: USAGE RATE MONITORING, VERSION 2.0/44/, CME has claimed a maximum 90% usage rate/44/.</p> <p>The CME have carried out 21,655 usage surveys collected in 2,228 villages across Honduras ensuring that the stoves in the first year of use (Year 0_1) encompass stoves that have been in use on average longer than 0.5 years. For stoves in the second year of use (Year 1_2), the usage surveys were conducted with stoves that have been in use on average at least 1.5 years. The same approach has been followed for all the other age groups (Year 2_3, Year 3_4, Year 4_5 and Year 5_6). The above applied values were further checked from "VP13-13 Dropoff Data.xlsx."/17/.</p> <p>Following the acceptance sampling approach, VVB picked up a random sample of 11 households for each age group from the project's sampled records, with an Acceptance Quality level of 0.5%. No discrepancies were found during the on-site visit after interviewing with the end-users. Therefore, the values of drop-off rate applied by the CME were found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>			
If applicable, has the reported data been	Not applicable			

	cross-checked with other available data?	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. The personnel responsible for the monitoring & usage surveys are well trained which is evident from the onsite visit interviews.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/5/. The monitored values were found to be conservative and therefore acceptable. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/.	

**ID 9 / LEp,y : Assess leakage sources including (1) replacement of efficient household heating sources with less efficient fuel; (2) continued use of baseline stove after installation ; (3) double counting, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	13 – Climate Action • 13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population	
<b>Means of verification</b>	<b>Criteria/Requirements</b>	<b>Assessment/Observation</b>
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes. The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Surveys are taken onsite, and the information recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The monitored value of the parameter is 966 tonnes.</p> <p>The leakage sources including (1) leakage due to replacement of efficient household heating sources; (2) continued use of baseline stove after installation; (3) double counting – all of these were checked from the salesforce database/28/, tabulated into “VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx”/14/.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across</p>

		<p>Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys were verified from “VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx”/14/ and ‘VP13-16 Double Counting Data.xlsx”/20/. Moreover, the values were confirmed for the households visited during the on-site audit by the verification team. Further, VVB team has checked the has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit. No discrepancies were found.</p> <p>The explanation of the calculation procedure for calculating leakage due to presence of baseline stove and double counting is deemed correct and monitoring methods were also in accordance with the applied methodology/5/. The ER sheet/4/ was further checked for the calculations and was found and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. The personnel responsible for the carrying out leakage and sustainability surveys are well trained which is evident from the site visit interviews with the CME representatives. Further the survey questionnaires are handed out by Mirador Supervisors.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/5/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/.	

**ID 10 / LEp,y – Leakage due to Transportation: Assess leakage due to transportation, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	<p>13 – Climate Action</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population.</li> </ul>	
<b>Means of verification</b>	<p><b>Criteria/Requirements</b></p>	<p><b>Assessment/Observation</b></p>
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Mileage is tracked for every transport (continuous) and is tabulated annually.
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	The frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/

	Monitoring equipment	Vehicle odometers
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The Mirador vehicles collectively travelled 419,469 Kms or 260,646 miles during the 13th Verification Period. The values were verified from the transportation records, "VP13-14 Transportation Summary.xlsx"/18/. The project activity caused emissions of 108.53 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e due to transportation during the current verification period, which corresponds to 0.04% of gross ERs. The values have been crosschecked via a standard online carbon calculator/24/.</p> <p>The transportation records/18/ were checked randomly by the verification team from the screenshots of the transportation records. The values therefore recorded for the parameter was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	The parameter has been monitored appropriately, in accordance with the registered monitoring plan/1/ (as per measurement methods and procedures to be applied) and applied methodology/5/. The monitoring results were recorded consistently as per the approved frequency in the monitoring plan/1/.	

**ID 11 / % reduction in release of PM2.5: Measurement of the reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention, %**

Relevant SDG Indicator	7 – Affordable and Clean Energy 7.3.1 Energy intensity measured in terms of primary energy and GDP	
Means of Verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	The value of this parameter is calculated
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	NA
	Monitoring equipment	NA

	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	79% is the value of the parameter. The value is sourced from McCarty, Nordica & Still, Dean, “Results of Testing the Overlook Foundation Justa Stoves Including the ‘2 By 3’ Stove: Fuel Use and Carbon/CO2eq Savings” (2009)/34/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	NA
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 12 / % reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5, Measurement of the reduction of personal exposure to PM2.5 (as opposed to the overall reduction to PM2.5) resulting from cookstove intervention, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	3 – Good Health and Well Being 3.9.1Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	The value of this parameter is calculated
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	NA
	Monitoring equipment	NA
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	47% is the value of the parameter. The value is sourced from Lefebvre, Olivier, “Health Impact of Proyecto Mirador Dos por Tres Stove” /35/.



	<p>If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?</p> <p>Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p>
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting are as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 13 / Time saved collecting fuelwood: For clients who collect their own wood, PP will monitor how much time they have saved, and how they invest the time saved, Hours/week**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	1 – No Poverty 1.2.2 Proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions according to national definitions	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>3.17 (a reduction of 45%) was observed as the value of the parameter.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from "VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx"/14/.</p>



		Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 14 / Money saved purchasing fuelwood: For clients who purchase fuelwood, PP will monitor how much money clients save due to the reduction in fuelwood consumption and track how the saved funds are spent, US Dollars**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	1 – No Poverty 1.2.2 Proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions according to national definitions	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The value of the parameter was observed as US\$ 1.54 (38 Honduran Lempiras ) per week per household, a reduction of 36% from the baseline.

		<p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from “VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx”/14/.</p> <p>Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 15 / % of people reporting they used money saved purchasing fuelwood to buy food: For clients who report saving money due to the reduction in fuelwood purchased, PP will monitor how the saved funds are spent, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	2 – Zero Hunger 2.1.1 Prevalence of undernourishment	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/

	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter was observed as 63%.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from "VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx"/14/.</p> <p>Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 16 / % of households that report the air inside the home is cleaner: Households are surveyed to determine if they report the air is cleaner after installation of the Mirador stove,%**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	7 – Affordable and Clean Energy 7.3.1 Energy intensity measured in terms of primary energy and GDP	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing

	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter was observed as 99%.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from "VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx"/14/.</p> <p>Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 17 / Training hours provided per year: Demonstrate the transfer of useful and marketable job skills to local direct and indirect employees through training records, Hours/year**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	4 – Quality Education • 4.3.1 Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex		
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation	
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing	
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/	
	Monitoring equipment	NA	
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA	
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter was observed as 1,786 hours.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME conducted various types of trainings and/or certification programs. The agenda for each training, number of attendees, number of trainings and duration were listed in the training data sheet, VP13-17 Training Data.xlsx /21/ provided by the CME.</p> <p>Therefore, the verification team confirms after checking the “VP13-17 Training Data.xlsx”/21/ confirms that the value applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>	
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The training related evidence – i.e. training records, photos /31/ conducted during the monitoring period, were shared by the CME. The training records were checked and discussed with the CME representatives during the onsite interviews. The information was found as verifiable and appropriate.	
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. The training hours provided to the staff are tracked and reported by Human resources specialist.	
<b>Findings</b>	CL#01 was raised and resolved		
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was		

	found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found
--	--

**ID 18 / Proportion of employees who are women: Employment records showing the proportion of women employed, by job type, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	5 – Gender Equality 5.5.2 Proportion of women in managerial positions.	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	NA
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	22% of direct employees and 7% of overall, including all field personnel was observed to be women.  The value was verified from employment records, "VP13-09 12 Quantitative Employment.xlsx"/16/ provided by the CME. Therefore, the verification team confirms that the value applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The employment contracts/36/ to confirm the proportion of women employees .
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. The log is maintained and updated continuously by Human resources specialist.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 19 / Improvement in Cooking Times: Qualitative surveys to determine if the Dos por Tres cooks faster, slower or the same, %**

Relevant SDG Indicator	5 – Gender Equality • 5.c.1 Proportion of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women’s empowerment	
Means of Verification	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	<p>The value of the parameter was observed as 96%.</p> <p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from “VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx”/14/.</p> <p>Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.



<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.

**ID 20 / % of users who say there is something they don't like about the stove: Qualitative surveys to demonstrate the % of users who say there is something they don't like about the stove, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	5 – Gender Equality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5.c.1 Proportion of countries with systems to track and make public allocations for gender equality and women's empowerment</li> </ul>	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	<b>Criteria/Requirements</b>	<b>Assessment/Observation</b>
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	Leakage and Sustainability Surveys are taken onsite via handheld device, and the information is recorded on Salesforce.com database.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The verified value of the parameter are as follows: 97% of users indicated there is nothing they don't like about the stove. 1% of users indicated the stove requires too much maintenance. 0.54% of users indicated the stove is difficult to clean. 0.54% of users indicated the plancha is small. 0.54% of users indicated the plancha is bend. 0.54% of users indicated it is hard to start the fire. 0.18% of users indicated it is difficult to control the temperature. 0.36% of users indicated the stove heat slowly. 0.18% of users indicated the food burns. 0.54% of users indicated the stove is cracking. 0.18% of users indicated they don't like to use small firewood. 0.72% of users indicated the stove they can't cook certain food.



		<p>During the 13<sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME carried out leakage and sustainability surveys for 564 households across 430 villages across Honduras. Leakage survey is performed for every 100<sup>th</sup> user from the maintenance survey across the total age group. The details about the surveys and the value of the parameter were verified from "VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results.xlsx"/14/.</p> <p>Moreover, VVB team has checked leakage and sustainability survey records during the onsite audit and no discrepancies were found. Therefore, the value of time saved collecting fuelwood applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.</p>
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. On-site leakage and sustainability surveys are conducted, results are verified by direct inspection, and data is tracked through Salesforce.com.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting are as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 21 / % of Mirador employees and microenterprises who report they are satisfied with their jobs: Results of qualitative annual survey to employees showing job satisfaction, %**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	<p>8 – Decent Work and Economic Growth</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8.8.2 Level of national compliance with labour rights (freedom of association and collective bargaining) based on International Labour Organization (ILO) textual sources and national legislation, by sex and migrant status</li> </ul>	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/

	Monitoring equipment	Parameter qualitative survey administered electronically or on paper and tabulated electronically.
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	The value of the parameter was observed as 99%.  During the 13 <sup>th</sup> verification period, the CME conducted online surveys to record the feedback of the mirador employees. The questionnaire "VP13-11 Employee Questionnaire.pdf"/15/ for conducting the annual survey "VP13-10 Employee Survey export.xlsx"/15/ were checked the value applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 22 / Quantitative employment by job type: Employment records showing the number of people employed by the project (direct and indirect), Number of Employees**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	8 – Decent Work and Economic Growth 8.5.2 Unemployment rate, by sex, age and persons with disabilities	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Ongoing
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/
	Monitoring equipment	NA

	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	205 employees (both male and female) have been provided jobs during the current monitoring period.  The employment record, "VP13-09 12 Quantitative Employment.xlsx"/16/were checked to confirm the total jobs that have been created as a result of VPA implementation. Therefore, the verification team confirms that the value applied by the CME was found acceptable and in-line with the monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	The employment contracts/36/ shared by CME were cross-checked to confirm the number of employees .
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	QA/QC procedures were found to be appropriate and reliable. The log is maintained and updated continuously by Human resources specialist.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/.. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 23 / Tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> reduced: Number of tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> reduced in a given monitoring period, mtCO<sub>2</sub>e**

<b>Relevant SDG Indicator</b>	13 – Climate Action 13.1.1 Number of deaths, missing persons and directly affected persons attributed to disasters per 100,000 population	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Yes, the frequency is in line with the registered PoA DD/1/ and VPA DD/2/

	Monitoring equipment	NA
	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	It was found that 280,039 tCO <sub>2</sub> e has been reduced due to the project activity. This was checked by the verification team with the emission reduction calculation sheet, "VP13-01 ER Calculations.xlsx"/4/. The equations used for determining emission reductions due to the implementation of the project activity was found to be in accordance with the in accordance with the applied methodology/5/ and registered PoA-DD/1/.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	NA
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Sustainability criteria was found to be fulfilled. The monitoring and reporting is as per the registered PoA-DD/1/ and VPA-DD/2/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.	

**ID 24 / Proof of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), Evidence that suppliers manufacturing the planchas provide the workers with Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and follow safety procedures.**

<b>SGP</b>	Safeguarding Principle 4.3.4 Release of pollutants	
<b>Means of Verification</b>	Criteria/Requirements	Assessment/Observation
	Measuring /Reading /Recording frequency	Annual
	Is measuring and reporting frequency in accordance with the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology? (Yes / No)	Frequency has been set as annual.
	Monitoring equipment	NA

	Calibration frequency /interval:	NA
	How were the values in the monitoring report verified?	It was confirmed through invoice and photos that workers have been provided Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)/47/ and follow safety procedures at the time of stove installation at the households.
	If applicable, has the reported data been cross-checked with other available data?	NA
	Does the data management ensure correct transfer of data and reporting of emission reductions and are necessary QA/QC processes in place?	NA
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised	
<b>Conclusion</b>	Safeguarding Principle 4.3.4. has been monitored in line with transition annex/6/.	

#### E.5.5. Comparison of monitored parameters with last monitoring period

Means of Verification	Data/Parameter	Value obtained in the current monitoring period	Value obtained in the last monitoring period
	ID 5 / fNRB,b,y Fraction of non-renewable biomass	69%	69%
	ID 6 / Np,y Number of project technology days	44,757,680	37,811,305
	ID 7 / Pp,b,y Average daily dry wood fuel reduction per person-meal (tonnes/household/day)	0.003892	0.0045754
	ID 8 / Up,y Abandonment (drop-off) rate	Year 0_1 10.00%	Year 0_1 5.00%
		Year 1_2 14.68%	Year 1_2 17.65%
		Year 2_3 19.24%	Year 2_3 9.30%
		Year 3_4 22.17%	Year 3_4 4.55%
		Year 4_5 27.53%	Year 4_5 2.27%
		Year 5_6 8.11%	Year 5_6 8.11%

	Year 5_6 28.44%	
ID 9 / LEp,y Assess leakage sources including (1) replacement of efficient household heating sources with less efficient fuel; (2) continued use of baseline stove after installation; (3) double counting.	966 tonnes (0.3%)	1,482 tonnes (0.5%)
ID 10 / LEp,y Leakage due to Transportation	0.04%	0.05%
ID 11 / % reduction in release of PM2.5	79%	79%
ID 12 / % reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	47%	47%
ID 13 / Time saved collecting fuelwood (Hours/week)	3.17	2.22
ID 14 / Money saved purchasing fuelwood	US\$ 1.54 (38 Honduran Lempiras) per week per HH, a reduction of 36%	US\$ 1.94 (46 Honduran Lempiras) per week per HH, a reduction of 44%
ID 15 / % of people reporting they used money saved purchasing fuelwood to buy food	63%	72%
ID 16 / % of households that report the air inside the home is cleaner	99%	100%
ID 17 / Training hours provided per year	1,786 hours	1,251 hours
ID 18 / Proportion of employees who are women	22% (direct employees) 7% (overall, including all field personnel)	26% (direct employees) 8% (overall, including all field personnel)
ID 19 / Improvement in cooking times	96%	98%
ID 20 / % of users who say there is something they don't like about the stove	3%	2%
ID 21 / % of Mirador employees and microenterprises who report they are satisfied with their jobs	99%	100%
ID 22 / Quantitative employment by job type	205	174
ID 23 / Tonnes of CO2 reduced	280,039	280,039

	<p>The parameters reported are consistent with the previous verification report/37/. The assessment of the parameters for the current monitoring period has been provided in the section E.5.4. However, the value of the parameter ID 8 / Up,y ,abandonment (drop-off) rate for the age groups, (Year 2_3, Year 3_4, Year 4_5 , Year 5_6) have reported higher than the previous monitoring period. Many factors may be responsible for the change in drop off rate, including the decreased severity of the COVID-19 restrictions and the progressive return to normal circumstances may cause changes in the patterns of usage of the Dos por Tres stove. The drop-off rates are not significantly higher and hence is found to be in line with the applied methodology, Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), version 2.0/5/.</p> <p>CME has further implemented, various Good practices and measures to enhance the performance of the project stove, Dos por Tres Stoves inline with TPDDTEC usage requirements.</p>
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	The monitoring and reporting of the parameters are as per the registered PoA-DD/1/, VPA-DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/. The representation of the monitored value was found to be accurate which was easily verifiable. No discrepancy in data monitoring, data management, transfer of data or QA/QC procedures was found.

#### E.5.6. Implementation of sampling plan

<b>Means of verification</b>	<p>The CME has applied the sampling plan in accordance with the Gold Standard methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, Version 2.0/5/ and the CDM EB 110, Annex 1, Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities/29/. Target population is the total population served under the PoA, defined as household or institutional users of inefficient biomass stoves which sourced from the sales database. Thus, the sales/project database with different age group is the sampling frame for the sampling of the project population.</p> <p><b><u>Parameters to be covered through monitoring surveys:</u></b>  The CME has conducted following kinds of surveys:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Usage surveys (Parameters- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ID 8 / Up,y</li> </ol> </li> <li>b. Project KPT surveys/Project field tests (parameters – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ID 7 / Pp,b,y</li> </ol> </li> <li>c. Leakage and sustainability surveys (parameters - <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ID 9 / LEp,y</li> <li>2. ID 13 / Time saved collecting fuelwood</li> <li>3. ID 14 / Money saved purchasing fuelwood</li> <li>4. ID 15 / % of people reporting they used money saved purchasing fuelwood to buy food</li> <li>5. ID 16 / % of households that report the air inside the home is cleaner</li> <li>6. ID 19 / Improvement in Cooking Times</li> <li>7. ID 20 / % of users who say there is something they don't like about the stove</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><b><u>Sample size calculation for different tests:</u></b>  Household usage survey:</p>
------------------------------	--



Sample size of the usage survey follow the Gold Standard approved baseline and monitoring methodology, Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption, v.2 (hereinafter referred to as TPDDTEC)/5/, which requires that at least 30 surveys be taken of stoves in each age group to determine drop-off, with a minimum total sample size of 100. The CME conducted 21,655 household surveys in total for determining the drop-off rates.

**Project field test (KPT):**

As per the VPA-DD/2/, a yearly plan similar to the following is observed once the requisite sample size of 10 is reached for each age group and new KPTs are aggregated to the existing data for each age group. thereafter, with the data from each subsequent KPT is added to existing data to strengthen the sample in both size and geographic diversity. All age groups meet the 90/30 test, use mean figures are applied to the ER Calculations to determine fuelwood savings.

**Leakage and sustainability surveys:**

PoA-DD/1/ requires a minimum sample size of 100. Survey is done, on an ongoing basis, 1 of every 100 new Dos por Tres stove owners and maintenance survey. For current monitoring period, 564 households across 430 villages s in 16 Departments (provinces) of Honduras.

**Sampling approach applied:**

Usage survey- stratified random sampling.  
Project field test- simple random sampling

**Leakage and sustainability surveys**

For newer stoves (<1.5 years), a survey was administered to every nth household that received a post-construction visit in order to guarantee a random sample. Older stoves (>1.5 years) also received surveys chosen at random by office staff, in advance of the visits, using villages that were close to routes used in the current follow-up visit schedule for newer stoves.

The VVB team has cross checked the random generator/48/ used by the CME to determine the random samples for each group.

**Data collection and analysis:**

The results of the survey were checked through acceptance sampling and found to be correct. Moreover, filled survey forms on salesforce were checked to corroborate the monitoring survey information in the excel.

**Reliability of test:**

Project Field Test - The CME provided the statistical analysis in the file “VP13-02 KPT Data.xlsx” (see worksheet “90-30 tests”), this was checked, the aggregated data satisfies the 90/30 rule for all age groups, i.e., the endpoints of the 90% confidence interval in each case lie within  $\pm 30\%$  of the estimated mean. Raw data has been added to existing data from previous years for 6 departments as reviewed from the file “VP13-03 KPT Data.xlsx.”

The verification team has verified the ER calculation spreadsheets /15/ with the monitored data, where the actual achieved precision is calculated against the Guidelines outlined under “Guidelines for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”/28/and can confirm that the calculation of achieved reliability was done correctly.

**Good Practice Monitoring Requirements**

	For the current monitoring period, the CME is in compliance with para 2.3 of Requirements and Guidelines: Usage Rate Monitoring, Version 2.0/44/. The CME has conducted intensive training workshops for the Supervisors responsible for carrying out the surveys. The CME has also ensured end-user Training and follow up visits and the awareness campaign for quality monitoring of the parameters.
<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	The verification team confirmed that the sampling plan and the parameter values are in accordance with the monitoring plan provided in PoA DD/1/ and the VPA DD /2/.

### E.5.7. Compliance with the calibration frequency requirements for measuring instruments

<b>Means of verification</b>	The devices and equipment used in the project have been detailed below:						
	S.no	Device	Manufacturer/ Model	Number Inventory	Accuracy	Usage	Means of Verification
	1	Humidity Meter	DELMHORST/ BD-2100	49279 49280	± 0.2% (in moisture range 6% to 40%)	Kitchen Performance Test	The device is checked for calibration before every use using calibration certificate/ 38/
	2	Digital Scale	Dr meter/ ES-PS01	#07b #08b #09b	± 1 ounce (to 110 lbs / 50 kg)	Kitchen Performance Test	Calibrated prior to each measurement by checking that the scale is reset to 0/39/.
3	Cast Iron Grip (Standard Mass weight)	METTLER TOLEDO/ M1-20 KG	U-0406	20 Kg	Kitchen Performance Test	Calibrated prior to each measurement by checking that the scale is reset to 0/39/.	
<b>Findings</b>	None						
<b>Conclusion</b>	The verification team confirmed that the calibration requirements are in accordance with the monitoring plan provided in PoA DD/1/ and the VPA DD/2/.						

## E.5.8. Assessment of data and calculation of emission reductions or net removals

### E.5.8.1. Calculation of baseline value or estimation of baseline situation of each SDG Impact

<b>Means of verification</b>	<p>Baseline emission was calculated using the approach given in the applied methodology/5/. The formula used for baseline estimation is as follows:</p> $ER_y = \sum_{b,p} (N_{p,y} * U_{p,y} * P_{p,b,y} * NCV_{b,fuel} * (f_{NRB,b,y} * E_{fuel,CO_2} + E_{fuel,nonCO_2})) - \sum L_{p,y}$ <p>Where,</p> <p><math>\sum_{b,p}</math>: Sum over all relevant (baseline b/project p) couples</p> <p><math>N_{p,y}</math>: <i>Parameter ID6</i>- Cumulative number of project technology-days included in the project database for project scenario p against baseline scenario b in year y</p> <p><math>U_{p,y}</math>: <i>Parameter ID8</i>- Cumulative usage rate for technologies in project scenario p in year y, based on cumulative adoption rate and drop off rate revealed by usage surveys (fraction)</p> <p><math>P_{p,b,y}</math>: <i>Parameters ID7</i>- Specific fuel savings for an individual technology of project p against an individual technology of baseline b in year y, in tons/day, as derived from the statistical analysis of the data collected from the field tests</p> <p><math>f_{NRB,b,y}</math>: <i>Parameter ID5</i>- Fraction of biomass used in year y for baseline scenario b that can be established as non-renewable biomass (drop this term from the equation when using a fossil fuel baseline scenario)</p> <p><math>NCV_{b,fuel}</math>: <i>Parameter ID4</i>- Net calorific value of the fuel that is substituted or reduced (0.0186 TJ/ton, NCV for Red Oak)</p> <p><math>E_{f,b,fuel,CO_2}</math>: <i>Parameter ID1</i>- CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is substituted or reduced. 112 tCO<sub>2</sub>/TJ for Wood/Wood Waste, or the IPCC default value of other relevant fuel <math>E_{f,b,fuel,nonCO_2}</math> Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced</p> <p><math>LE_{p,y}</math>: <i>Parameters ID9 &amp; ID10</i>- Leakage for project scenario p in year y (tCO<sub>2</sub>e/yr)</p> <p><math>E_{f,fuel,nonCO_2}</math>: <i>Parameters ID2 &amp; ID3</i>- Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of the fuel that is reduced</p> <p><b>Calculations to assess SDG Impacts:</b></p> <p>SDG #1 – No Poverty</p> <p>CME calculated absolute values for time and money spent collecting fuelwood in the baseline scenario, as reported by stove beneficiaries.</p> <p>SDG #2 – Zero Hunger</p> <p>The CME surveyed only the people who had reported saving money on fuelwood (see SDG #1) to find out if they used that money to buy food. It was thus concluded by the CME that a baseline value calculation was not applicable and direct calculation was used for this SDG outcome.</p>
------------------------------	--

## SDG #3 – Good Health and Well-Being

In both the baseline and the project scenario, exposure to PM2.5 was measured using a light scattering nephelometer (HAPEX Nano). This device provides real time readings on PM2.5 and takes a new measurement every minute. It was worn by the study participant for a 48-hour period. This class of device required a field calibration performed with gravimetric samplers. CME took a sub sample of the study participants wore the gravimetric sampler collocated with the HAPEX. The gravimetric sampler was comprised of a constant flow pump (AP Buck Libra Elite) and a size selective inlet SKC PME Impactor which selected only particulates smaller than 2.5 µm in diameter (PM2.5). The filters were weighed before and after the sampling by the CME.

## SDG #4 – Quality Education

It was observed and noted that in the absence of project activity Mirador's stove training would not have been provided to the concerned people. Thus, baseline value was understood to be zero.

## SDG #5 – Gender Equality

For Parameter ID 18 (Proportion of employees who are women), in the absence of project activity these jobs would not have existed. Thus, baseline value was taken to be zero by the CME.

For Parameter ID 19 (Improvement in cooking times), qualitative values were collected for time spent cooking in the baseline scenario, as reported by stove beneficiaries to the CME.

For Parameter ID 20 (% of users who say there is something they don't like about the stove), only Dos por Tres stove users are surveyed. Thus, a baseline value calculation could not be applied by the CME and direct calculation was used for this SDG outcome (described in E.3 in the MR).

## SDG #7 – Affordable and Clean Energy

The Kitchen Performance Test (KPT) was used to determine relative PM2.5 emissions in both the baseline and project stove, as measured by Aprovecho's Research Center's commercially available Portable Emissions Measurement System (PEMS), in which real-time emissions of (PM) were recorded. Specific consumption is reported as a measure of the fuel used to boil (or simmer) one liter of water. Fuel use and emissions made to complete the WBT are reported as the average specific consumption (emissions) of cold and hot start plus simmer, multiplied by 5 Litres. The amount of particulate matter (PM) was measured as emitted to complete the KPT. All of the measured percentage reductions are significant at 95% confidence.

## SDG #8 – Decent Work and Economic Growth

For Parameter ID 21 (% of Mirador employees and microenterprises who report they are satisfied with their jobs), only Mirador project employees are surveyed. Thus, baseline value calculation was not applicable.

For Parameter ID 22 (Quantitative employment), in the absence of project activity these jobs would not exist. Thus, baseline value was taken to be zero.

## SDG #13 – Climate Action

The CME has defined the baseline values as per the 2010 Fuelwood Consumption Study. Field results were adjusted to account for moisture variation and adult equivalent persons.

The KT focused exclusively on typical baseline fogón stoves and involved taking physical measurements of daily wood consumption with the required return visits over a four-day period.

During the KPT it was found by the CME that households have a degree of typical fuel and stove-type mixing; however, during the KPT only the primary fuel—woody biomass—was measured by measuring the amount of wood not used, from a previously measured pile. The effect of fuel mixing reduces the savings made in primary fuel between the baseline and project scenarios. The quantity of secondary fuel is treated as zero. Wood consumption in the baseline study was calculated on a “dry wood basis” to account for variations in fuelwood moisture between households. Based on the above, the option to measure fuel consumption of the primary fuel only was selected for the calculation of the emission reductions.

The CME conducted a secondary baseline study in 2013 among 117 households to enhance the geographic spread of the baseline and test the validity of the 2010 results. Rob Bailis, PhD, of the Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, performed the analysis and concluded the following:

The results show that baseline daily consumption was 10.6 kg of dry-wood per household (1.1 kg per person-meal) in 2010 and 10.9 kg of dry-wood per household (1.0 kg per person-meal) in 2013. These differences are insignificant, and we can conclude that there has been no variation in baseline fuel consumption in this time period. The results of the 2013 baseline study thus corroborated those of the 2010 study.

## SDG #15 – Life on Land

For ID 5 – fNRB,b,y, baseline assessment focused on the fuel supply of Honduras, to determine the fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area, as described in the Gold Standard Methodology “Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption” (24/04/2015), Annex 1, Section A1.3, “NRB Assessment similar to approach of CDM methodology AMS-II.G. fNRB was calculated using the equation  $fNRB = NRB / (NRB + DRB)$ .

For ID 7 / Pp,b,y, baseline and project household fuel consumption is measured in the same way, per Kitchen Performance Test (KPT) protocols. Fuel consumption is measured by weighing fuelwood over a 4-day period and moisture content is noted at each weighing. Also noted are the number of people by age group and gender who are eating meals in the household. Final data is expressed as per-capita daily fuel consumption.

Detailed assessment of all the parameters used to calculate emission reductions is provided under section E.5.4.2.

The calculations presented in the monitoring report /3/ and the corresponding ER sheet/4/ were found appropriate and complying with provisions prescribed in the registered monitoring plan/1/ of the respective revised accepted VPA-DD/2/, PoA-DD/1/ and applied methodology/5/.

	The verification team affirms that an audit trail that contains the evidence and records that validated the stated figures were checked and found legitimate.
<b>Findings</b>	CAR#01 was raised and resolved
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>The verification team verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) A complete set of data for the monitoring period was available and the verification of each monitoring parameter is elaborated in this report. The complete monitoring data is also presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/4/ of final Monitoring Report /3/.</li> <li>b) The information provided in the monitoring report was crosschecked with other sources, wherever appropriate and available.</li> <li>c) The calculations of overall GHG emissions as presented in the corresponding ER calculations sheet/4/ of final Monitoring Report /3/ were checked and found to be consistent with the formulae and methods described in the registered monitoring plan of VPA-DD/2/, registered PoA-DD/1/ and the applied methodology/5/.</li> <li>d) All assumptions used in the emission calculations were found appropriate and therefore justified.</li> <li>e) Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors and other reference values have been correctly applied.</li> <li>f) No standardized baseline was prescribed in the registered PoA DD/1/ and therefore it has not been applied.</li> <li>g) There is no pro-rata approach was applied in the current monitoring period as entire monitoring period falls into period that is after the end of first commitment period of Kyoto Protocol.</li> </ul>

**E.5.8.2. Calculation of project value or estimation of project situation of each SDG Impact**

<b>Means of verification</b>	Not applicable as per the methodology and also no source of project emission could be identified.
<b>Findings</b>	Not applicable
<b>Conclusion</b>	Not applicable

**E.5.8.3. Calculation of leakage**

<b>Means of verification</b>	The leakage was calculated as a parameter and the overall leakage was found to be 966 tCO <sub>2</sub> e. Please see section E.5.4.2 for detailed assessment.
<b>Findings</b>	None
<b>Conclusion</b>	<p>The verification team confirms that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The complete data was available and is duly reported;</li> <li>b. Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating baseline GHG emissions or baseline net GHG removals were followed;</li> <li>c. Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors and other reference values were correctly applied.</li> </ul>

**E.5.8.4. Summary of calculation of net benefits or direct calculation for each SDG Impact for the current monitoring period**

<b>Means of verification</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sustainable Development Goals Targeted</th> <th>SDG Impact</th> <th>Baseline estimate</th> <th>Project estimate</th> <th>Net Benefits</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>SDG 13 Climate</td> <td>Emission Reductions</td> <td>948,129</td> <td>667,119</td> <td>280,039</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>					Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net Benefits	SDG 13 Climate	Emission Reductions	948,129	667,119	280,039
	Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Baseline estimate	Project estimate	Net Benefits										
SDG 13 Climate	Emission Reductions	948,129	667,119	280,039											

Action (mandatory)					
SDG1 No Poverty	USD saved per week per household	0 (zero, no saving expected at baseline scenario) Average wood cost with a traditional fogon US\$ 4.34 per week	Average wood cost with a Dos por Tres stove US\$ 2.80	1.55	
SDG1 No Poverty	Reduction in time spent collecting fuelwood	0 (zero, no time saved expected at baseline scenario) Average hours per week collecting wood with a traditional fogon 5.73 hours	Average hours per week collecting wood with a Dos por Tres stove 2.56 hours.	45%	
SDG 2 Zero Hunger	Wood purchasers report they used the money saved to buy food	0 (zero, money saved to buy food expected at baseline scenario)	63%	63%	
SDG 3 Good Health and Well-Being	Reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	0 (Zero) No expected reduction in baseline scenario. Exposure to PM2.5 in baseline scenario is 221 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Exposure in Project scenario is 117 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	47%	
SDG 4 Quality Education	Annual training hours provided	0 (Zero) No expected training in baseline scenario	Hours Total 1,786	Hours Total 1,786	
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Satisfaction among stove beneficiaries	0 (Zero) No satisfaction expected in the baseline scenario due to the	97%	97%	



			absence of the dos por tres stove.		
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Stove users report improved cooking times	0 (Zero) No improvement in cooking times in baseline scenario	96%	96%	
SDG 5 Gender Equality	Mirador's direct employees are women	0 (Zero) No employees in baseline scenario	22% (direct employees); 7% (employees overall, including all field personnel)	22%	
SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention	17,631 PM (mg) emissions of the traditional fogon	3,658 PM (mg) emissions of the Dos por Tres	79%	
SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Jobs created	0 (Zero) No Jobs expected in baseline scenario	205	205	
SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Job satisfaction rate	0 (Zero) No Jobs expected in baseline scenario, therefore the satisfaction rate is zero.	97%	97%	
SDG 15 Life on Land	Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area	Not estimated at baseline scenario	69%	69%	
SDG 15 Life on Land	Baseline and project household fuel consumption	Pb,y 0.013130	Pp,y 0.00923861 3	Pp,b,y 0.003892	
<p>The value of overall GHG emissions obtained by applying the equations provided in the registered VPA-DD is 280,039 tCO<sub>2</sub>e. The calculations presented in this regard in the final monitoring report/3/ and corresponding ER calculations sheet/4/ were found appropriate and complying with the</p>					

	<p>provisions prescribed in the registered monitoring plan of VPA DD/2/, registered PoA-DD/1/ and applied methodology/5/.</p> <p>The verification team confirms that an audit trail that contains the evidence and records that validated the stated figures were checked and found acceptable.</p>
Findings	No finding was raised.
Conclusion	<p>The verification team confirms that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The complete data was available and is duly reported;</li> <li>• As indicated above, the description with regard to cross-check of reported data is included under respective parameter (refer Section of this report);</li> <li>• Appropriate methods and formulae for calculating net GHG removals and leakage emissions were followed;</li> <li>• Appropriate emission factors, IPCC default factors and other reference values were correctly applied.</li> <li>• There is no pro-rata approach was applied in the current monitoring period as entire monitoring period falls into period that is after the end of first commitment period of Kyoto Protocol.</li> </ul>

#### E.6. Comparison of actual SDG Impacts with estimates in approved PDD

Means of verification	Sustainable Development Goals Targeted	SDG Impact	Values estimated in ex ante calculation of approved PDD for this monitoring period	Actual values achieved during this monitoring period
	SDG 13 Climate Action (mandatory)	Emission Reductions	518,828	280,039
	SDG1 No Poverty	USD saved per week per household	0	1.54
	SDG1 No Poverty	Reduction in time spent collecting fuelwood	0	45%
	SDG 2 Zero Hunger	Wood purchasers report they used the money saved to buy food	0	63%
	SDG 3 Good Health and Well-Being	Reduction in personal exposure to PM2.5	0	47%
	SDG 4 Quality Education	Annual training hours provided	0	1,786
	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Satisfaction among stove beneficiaries	0	97%
	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Stove users report improved cooking times	0	96%

	SDG 5 Gender Equality	Mirador's direct employees are women	0	22%
	SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy	Reduction of PM2.5 emissions resulting from cookstove intervention	0	79%
	SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Jobs created	0	205
	SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth	Job satisfaction rate	0	99%
	SDG 15 Life on Land	Fraction of non-renewable biomass in the supply area	0	69%
	SDG 15 Life on Land	Baseline and project household fuel consumption	0	0.003892
	<p>Review of VPA-DD and ER calculation spreadsheets demonstrated that in the VPA-DD, 518,828 tonnes were estimated to be reduced between 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022. But 280,039 tonnes are reduced during the current monitoring period, which led to the conclusion that actual emission reductions achieved are less than the amount estimated.</p> <p>In addition to SDG 13 Climate Action, other SDG Impacts has no values estimated in ex ante calculation of approved PDD or in the GS4GG Transition Annex. Therefore, the verification team concludes that no positive impact on SDGs is defined considering the baseline scenario is defined as using the conventional fogon (stove).</p>			
<b>Findings</b>	None			
<b>Conclusion</b>	The actual emission reductions are lower than the value estimated in VPA-DD/2/ and the various other SDG impacts remains zero. Therefore, it has been accepted by the verification team.			

#### E.7. Remarks on difference from estimated value in registered VPA -DD

<b>Means of verification</b>	As verified and evident from the Monitoring Report /5/ and corresponding ER calculations sheet /4/, the actual emission reductions achieved for project stov for the VPA under this verification in the current monitoring period were found less than the estimated quantity in the VPA-DD/2/ for the comparable period. Considering there is no increase in ERs no further justification was sought. The quantitative details of actual values of achieved ERs for the VPA and value estimated in the VPA-DD/2/ is presented in the next table.
<b>Findings</b>	None
<b>Conclusion</b>	No justification was sought from the PD as achieved Emission reductions are lower than the estimated emission reductions.

#### E.8. Assessment of safeguard reportings

<b>Means of verification</b>	Not Applicable
------------------------------	----------------

<b>Findings</b>	No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>	Not Applicable

## E.9. Stakeholder inputs and legal disputes

### E.9.1. Assessment of all Inputs and Grievances which have been received via the Continuous Input and Grievance Mechanism together with their respective responses/mitigations.

<b>Means verification</b>	<b>of</b>	A Feedback Log using is maintained electronically at the project office and an export of the feedback log for the current monitoring period was obtained (VP13-15 Stakeholder Comment 2021.xlsx)/19/. It records all the stakeholder feedback received directly by beneficiaries or gathered by Mirador's Supervisors and Ejecutores. It also has feedbacks received in the physical process book (kept in CME's office). It also tracks responses and follow up interactions from the CME.  The VP13-15 Stakeholder Comment 2021.xlsx/19/ and filled feedback forms/40/ were checked to confirm that all comments have been taken under confirmation. It was also checked with the end-users and CME representatives during the onsite audit that the households are visited by the supervisors and the household feedback is recorded/19/. Additionally, end users reported that their comments were satisfactorily resolved.
<b>Findings</b>		No findings were raised
<b>Conclusion</b>		The verification team confirms that CME has considered and addressed all the stakeholder comments received during the current monitoring period. Grievance mechanism as reported in registered PoA DD/1/, VPA DD/2/ and GS4GG Transition Annex/6/ is in place.

## SECTION F. Internal quality control

The draft verification report that is prepared by verification team is reviewed by an independent technical review team (one or more members) to confirm if the internal procedures established and implemented by Earthood were duly complied with and such opinion/conclusion is reached in an objective manner that complies with the applicable Gold Standard rules/requirements. The technical review team is collectively required to possess the technical expertise of all the technical area/sectoral scope the project activity relates to. All team members of technical review team are independent of the verification team.

During the technical review process additional findings may be identified or the closed-out findings may be opened, which needs to be satisfactorily resolved before the request for issuance is finalised. The independent technical reviewer may either approve the report as such or reject/return the same in such case providing the comments/findings/issues that needs to be resolved by the verification team. The decision taken by the Technical Reviewer is final and is authorized on behalf of Earthood Services Private Limited.

## SECTION G. Verification opinion

Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood), contracted by Proyecto Mirador Foundation, has performed the independent verification of the emission reductions for the GS PoA 1988 "Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America" in Honduras for the monitoring period 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022 (Inclusive of both days) as reported in the Monitoring Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023, Proyecto Mirador Foundation is responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project activity.

The VVB commenced the verification on the basis of the baseline and monitoring methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), Version 2.0,"Gold Standard for Global Goals Transition Annexure", version 1, dated 12/04/2019 the monitoring plan contained in the PoA-DD and VPA-DD, both Version 6.0, dated 25/03/2016, Monitoring

Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023. VVB’s verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

The verification team confirms that:

- The PoA was found completely implemented as per the description given in the registered VPA -DD.
- The actual operation conforms to the description in the registered PoA - DD and VPA- DD

## SECTION H. Certification statement

Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood), contracted by Proyecto Mirador Foundation, has performed the independent verification of the emission reductions for “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” for the monitoring period 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022 (Inclusive of both days) as reported in the Monitoring Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023, Proyecto Mirador Foundation is responsible for the collection of data in accordance with the monitoring plan and the reporting of GHG emissions reductions from the project activity. It is our responsibility to express an independent verification statement on the reported GHG emission reductions from the project activity.

VVB commenced the verification on the basis of the baseline and monitoring methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), Version 2.0, the monitoring plan contained in the VPA: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras”, Monitoring Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023.

VVB’s verification approach is based on the understanding of the risks associated with reporting of GHG emission data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Earthood planned and performed the verification by obtaining evidence and other information and explanations that Earthood considered necessary to give reasonable assurance that reported GHG emission reductions are fairly stated.

In our opinion the GHG emissions reductions reported for the project activity for the period 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022(Inclusive of both days) are fairly stated in the Monitoring Report (final) version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023. The GHG emission reductions were calculated correctly on the basis of the approved baseline and monitoring methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC), Version 2.0, the monitoring plan contained in the VPA: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras”. Earthood Services Private Limited is able to certify that the emission reductions from the GS VPA: “Proyecto Mirador Enhanced Distribution of Improved Cookstoves in Latin America: First VPA for Distribution of Dos por Tres Cookstoves in Honduras” during the period 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022 (inclusive of both days) amount to 280,039 tCO<sub>2</sub>e.

### Verified and certified emission reductions as per vintage:

Start date and end date	Amount
From 01/12/2021 till 31/12/2021	21,100 tCO <sub>2</sub> e
From 01/01/2022 till 31/12/2022	258,939 tCO <sub>2</sub> e

## Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full Texts
AQL	Acceptable Quality Leve
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CL	Clarification Request
CME	Coordinating and Managing Entity
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
CP	Crediting Period
VVB	Validation/Verification Bodies
DR	Document Review
EB	Executive Board
EI	External Individual
ER	Emission Reduction
ESPL	Earthood Services Private Limited (Earthood)
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Green House Gas
GS4GG	Gold Standard for Global Goals
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IR	Internal Resource
PoA DD	PoA Design Document
PoA	Programme of Activities
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VER	Verified Emission Reductions
VPA/VPA-DD	VPA is for 'Verified Project Activity' (whereas DD stands for Design Document)
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality control
VVB	Validation and Verification body

## Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

Competence Statement	
<b>Name</b>	Kaviraj Singh
<b>Education</b>	Ph.D. (Environmental Engineering), IIT Delhi Masters (Energy & Environmental), DAVV Indore
<b>Experience</b>	15 Years +
<b>Field</b>	Climate Change & Environment
Approved Roles	
<b>Team Leader</b>	YES
<b>Validator</b>	YES
<b>Verifier</b>	YES
<b>Methodology Expert</b>	AMS-I.D., AMS-II.D., ACM0006, AMS-I.A., AMS-I.C., AMS-II.B., AMS-III.H, ACM0002, ACM0001, AM0080, ACM0018, AM0056, AM0073 VM0042, AMS-III.G, AMS-III.AF., VM0032, VM0018, ACM0010, ACM0022, AMS-III.D, AMS-III.F and AMS-III.A.Q
<b>Local expert</b>	YES (India)
<b>Financial Expert</b>	YES
<b>Technical Reviewer</b>	YES
<b>TA Expert (X.X)</b>	YES (TA 1.1, TA 1.2, TA 3.1, TA 13.1, TA 13.2)

<b>Reviewed by</b>	Shifali Guleria (Quality Manager)	<b>Date</b>	02/02/2023
<b>Approved by</b>	Deepika Mahala (Technical Manager)	<b>Date</b>	02/02/2023

<b>Competence Statement</b>			
<b>Name</b>	Jahnabi Kalita		
<b>Education</b>	M.Sc. Environment Management		
<b>Experience</b>	1+ year		
<b>Field</b>	Environment, Climate change		
<b>Approved Roles</b>			
<b>Team Leader</b>	YES		
<b>Validator</b>	YES		
<b>Verifier</b>	YES		
<b>Methodology Expert</b>	NO		
<b>Local expert</b>	YES (India)		
<b>Financial Expert</b>	NO		
<b>Technical Reviewer</b>	NO		
<b>TA Expert (X.X)</b>	NO		
<b>Reviewed by</b>	Shifali Guleria, Quality Manager	<b>Date</b>	06/05/2023
<b>Approved by</b>	Deepika Mahala, Technical Manager	<b>Date</b>	06/05/2023

<b>Competence Statement</b>			
<b>Name</b>	Deepika Mahala		
<b>Country</b>	India		
<b>Education</b>	M. Sc. (Environment Management), GGSIP University B.Sc. Hons. (Chemistry), Sri Venkateshwar College, DU		
<b>Experience</b>	6 Years +		
<b>Field</b>	Climate Change		
<b>Approved Roles</b>			
<b>Team Leader</b>	YES		
<b>Validator</b>	YES		
<b>Verifier</b>	YES		
<b>Methodology Expert</b>	ACM0002, AMS.I.D., AMS.I.A, AMS.III.AV, AMS.II.G, AMS-II.C		
<b>Local expert</b>	YES (India, Bangladesh)		
<b>Financial Expert</b>	NO		
<b>Technical Reviewer</b>	YES		
<b>TA Expert</b>	YES (TA 1.2 & TA 3.1)		
<b>Reviewed by</b>	Shifali Guleria (QM)	<b>Date</b>	28/04/2022
<b>Approved by</b>	Kaviraj Singh (MD)	<b>Date</b>	28/04/2022



Competence Statement			
<b>Name</b>	Rommel Badouin Cardona Lezama		
<b>Education</b>	B.Sc. Environmental Engineer		
<b>Experience</b>	4 years		
<b>Field</b>	Environmental Engineering		
Approved Roles			
<b>Team Leader</b>	NO		
<b>Validator</b>	NO		
<b>Verifier</b>	NO		
<b>Methodology Expert</b>	NO		
<b>Local expert</b>	YES (Honduras, Guatemala)		
<b>Financial Expert</b>	NO		
<b>Technical Reviewer</b>	NO		
<b>TA Expert (X.X)</b>	NO		
<b>Reviewed by</b>	Deepika, Mahala (Quality Manager)	<b>Date</b>	22/12/2021
<b>Approved by</b>	Ashok Gautam (Technical Manager)	<b>Date</b>	22/12/2021

### Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	PoA-DD, Version 6.0	Dated 25/03/2016	CME
2.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VPA-DD, Version 6.0	Dated 25/03/2016	CME
3.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Monitoring Report	Version 2.2, dated 23/05/2023	CME
4.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	ER calculations Sheet, VP13-01 ER Calculations.xlsx	Dated 07/03/2023	CME
5.	The Gold Standard Foundation	The Gold Standard Simplified Methodology Technologies and Practices to Displace Decentralized Thermal Energy Consumption (TPDDTEC),	Version 2.0 Dated 24/04/2015	Others
6.	The Gold Standard Foundation	Transition Annex	Version 1, Dated 12/04/2019	Others
7.	The Gold Standard Foundation	GS webpage of the PoA: <a href="https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/1691">https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/1691</a>	Last accessed on 13/01/2023	Others

		GS webpage of the VPA: <a href="https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/1575">https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/1575</a>		
8.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-02 KPT Data.xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
9.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-03 KPT Data Sheet SPANISH.pdf VP13-04 KPT Data Sheet ENGLISH.pdf	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
10.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-05 KPT Guidelines.pdf	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
11.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-06 Sales Records (salesforce.com)	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
12.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-07 Stoves installed by month	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
13.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-08 Training Brochure.pdf	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
14.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-09 Leakage Sustainability Results Test.xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
15.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-10 Employee Survey export.xlsx VP13-11 Employee Questionnaire.pdf	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
16.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-12 Quantitative Employment.xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
17.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13- SUMS_Report_2_3_2022.pdf	Dated 02/03/2022	CME
18.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-14 Transportation Summary.xls	Dated 14/03/2021	CME
19.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-15 Stakeholder Comments 2021.xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
20.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-16 Double Counting Data .xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
21.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-17 Training Data.xlsx	Dated 27/12/2021	CME
22.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-18 Usage Weighted Average.xlsx	Dated 04/02/2022	CME
23.	IPCC	IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories 2.1 ( <a href="http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2_Volume2/V2_2_Ch2_Stationary_Combustion.pdf">http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/2_Volume2/V2_2_Ch2_Stationary_Combustion.pdf</a> )	Vol. 2	Others
24.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Carbon offset calculator: <a href="http://www.nativeenergy.com/travel.html">http://www.nativeenergy.com/travel.html</a>	-	CME
25.	GS4GG	GS-MR-FORM,	Version 1.1	Others
26.	GS4GG	Principles and Requirements	Version 1.2	Others
27.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Salesforce database	Multiple	CME
28.	UNFCCC	CDM guidelines for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities	Version 4.0	Others
29.	UNFCCC	Standard for Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities	Version 9.0	Others

30.	Gold Standard Foundation	GS2758_GS4GG Performance Review_12 <sup>th</sup> M.P_Final Round.pdf	-	CME
31.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Training photos	-	CME
32.	Clean Cooking Alliance	NCV for Red Oak, per Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, "WBT 4.2.4 Spreadsheet" ( <a href="http://cleancookstoves.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html">http://cleancookstoves.org/technology-and-fuels/testing/protocols.html</a> )	Last accessed on 01/02/2022	CME
33.	SERI	Cheremisinoff, N. Properties of Wood. Wood for Energy Production. Ann Arbor, MI, Ann Arbor Science:  <a href="https://books.google.co.in/books?id=Qw-Vk3BR3GoC&amp;pg=PA19&amp;lpg=PA19&amp;dq=Cheremisinoff,+N.+Properties+of+Wood.+Wood+for+Energy+Production.+Ann+Arbor,+MI,+Ann+Arbor+Science&amp;source=bl&amp;ots=S5JPKtgpqxq&amp;sig=ACfU3U0lZx5EKpy6ctLhO9LNdOmCIMxonA&amp;hl=en&amp;sa=X&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjekPOm1N71AhVDzTgGHWNjCiwQ6AF6BAgJEAM#v=onepage&amp;q=Cheremisinoff%20C%20N.%20Properties%20of%20Wood.%20Wood%20for%20Energy%20Production.%20Ann%20Arbor%20MI%20C%20Ann%20Arbor%20Science&amp;f=false">https://books.google.co.in/books?id=Qw-Vk3BR3GoC&amp;pg=PA19&amp;lpg=PA19&amp;dq=Cheremisinoff,+N.+Properties+of+Wood.+Wood+for+Energy+Production.+Ann+Arbor,+MI,+Ann+Arbor+Science&amp;source=bl&amp;ots=S5JPKtgpqxq&amp;sig=ACfU3U0lZx5EKpy6ctLhO9LNdOmCIMxonA&amp;hl=en&amp;sa=X&amp;ved=2ahUKEwjekPOm1N71AhVDzTgGHWNjCiwQ6AF6BAgJEAM#v=onepage&amp;q=Cheremisinoff%20C%20N.%20Properties%20of%20Wood.%20Wood%20for%20Energy%20Production.%20Ann%20Arbor%20MI%20C%20Ann%20Arbor%20Science&amp;f=false</a>	Last accessed on 01/02/2022	CME
34.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	McCarty, Nordica & Still, Dean, "Results of Testing the Overlook Foundation Justa Stoves Including the '2 By 3' Stove: Fuel Use and Carbon/CO <sub>2</sub> eq Savings	-	CME
35.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	"Health Impact of Proyecto Mirador Dos por Tres Stove"	-	CME
36.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Employment contracts	-	CME
37.	ESPL	12th Verification Report	-	Others
38.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Honduras 0-1 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> <li>• Honduras 1-2 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> <li>• Honduras 2-3 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> <li>• Honduras 3-4 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> <li>• Honduras 4-5 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> <li>• Honduras 5-6 Formato de calibración.pdf</li> </ul>	-	CME
39.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	VP13-19 Scales calibration	-	CME
40.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation	Filled Stakeholder feedback forms	-	CME

41.	The Standard Foundation	Gold	VVB Requirements	Version 2.0	Others
42.	The Standard Foundation	Gold	APPLICABILITY OF GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL FOR GOLD STANDARD FOR THE GLOBAL GOALS PROJECTS PUBLICATION	Version 1.1 Dated 03/06/2021	Others
43.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation		Aprovecho Research Center, 2009	-	CME
44.	The Standard Foundation	Gold	REQUIREMENTS AND GUIDELINES: USAGE RATE MONITORING,	Version 2.0	Others
45.	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)		GWP: IPCC AR4, <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf">https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/ar4-wg1-chapter2-1.pdf</a>	Last accessed on 31/01/2022	CME
46.	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)		GWP: IPCC AR5, <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar5/">https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar5/</a>	Last accessed on 31/01/2022	CME
47.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation		Invoices and photos provided by suppliers manufacturing the planchas.	-	CME
48.	Proyecto Mirador Foundation		Random Sample Generator <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MiradorForce Process - Random Selection Drop-off Surveys.pdf</li> <li>MiradorForce Random Selection List – Honduras.xlsx</li> </ul>	-	CME

#### Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

**Table 1. Remaining FAR from validation and/or previous verification**

FAR ID	NA	Section no.	NA	Date : DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of FAR</b>				
NA				
<b>Project participant response</b>				Date : DD/MM/YYYY
NA				
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>				
NA				
<b>VVB assessment</b>				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
NA				

There is no finding from previous verification.

**Table 2. CL from this verification**

CL ID	01	Section no.	NA	Date : 13/01/2023

<b>Description of CL</b>	
1. The value of the parameter, ID 17 / Training hours provided per year is same as the 12th MP (1,251 hours). Please clarify if any training was conducted during the current MP.	
<b>Project participant response</b>	<b>Date :</b> 06/03/2023
1. At the time of first submission data was not yet available and displayed values from the previous MP. Data has been updated (Proyecto Mirador conducted 1,786 hours of training in Honduras during the current monitoring period) and provided in document "VP13-17 Training Data 2022".	
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>	
VP13-17 Training Data 2022 VP13-17 Training Data Spanish & English (Dec 2021 to May 2022) VP13-17 Training Data Spanish & English (June 2022 to Dec 2022)	
<b>VVB assessment</b>	<b>Date:</b> 20/03/2023
The value of the ex-post parameter, ID 17 / Training hours provided per year has been updated to 1,786 hours in the revised MR. The value recorded for the current MP has been confirmed from "VP13-17 Training Data 2022" sheet and is consistently mentioned across the MR.  Thus, CL#01 stands closed.	

**Table 3. CAR from this verification**

<b>CAR ID</b>	01	<b>Section no.</b>	<b>Date :</b> 13/01/2023
<b>Description of CAR</b>			
1. Under section D.6.4 of the VPA DD, the estimated ERs for the current MP is 477,299 tCO <sub>2</sub> . However, the ex-ante ERs under section E.5 of the MR is 455,436 tCO <sub>2</sub> . Please justify.			
2. Specific Fuel Saving from an individual stove (P <sub>p,b,y</sub> ) reported under section E.1 and E.2 is 0.0040046 ton/household/day. However, as per as cell no. H35, "Assumption" worksheet of the ER Calculation Sheet, the net benefit is 0.003961 ton/household/day. Please revise.			
<b>Project participant response</b>			<b>Date :</b> 07/03/2023
1. 455,436 tCO <sub>2</sub> e was not an updated value, as this corresponded to the previous MP. 477,299 tCO <sub>2</sub> e are the estimated ER for the period 01/12/2021 – 31/12/2022. However, as explained above, this MP includes one additional month, December 2022. Considering the full MP, from 01/12/2021 to 31/12/2022, the estimated ERs according to the VPA-DD are <u>518,040 tCO<sub>2</sub>e</u> . Explanation: The next period in the VPA-DD, 01/12/2022 – 30/04/2023, is a 5-month period with 203,707 tCO <sub>2</sub> e estimated ERs. 203,707 divided by 5 months, equals 40,741 tCO <sub>2</sub> e ER per month. Hence, 477,299 tCO <sub>2</sub> e from 01/12/2021 to 30/11/2022 (12-months) + 40,741 tCO <sub>2</sub> e from 01/12/2022 to 31/12/2022 (1-month) = 518,040 tCO <sub>2</sub> e This has been updated in the MP section E.5. and included in the MP.			
2. Update value is 0.003892 (ER Calculation sheet, Assumption tab, cell H35). This value has also been updated in the MR.			
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>			
Mirador VP13 MR v2.0 07 Mar 2023 VP13-01 ER Calculations v1.1 07 Mar 2023			
<b>VVB assessment</b>			<b>Date:</b> 20/03/2023

1. The ex-ante ER for the current MP is updated to 518,040 tCO<sub>2</sub>e under section E.5 of the revised MR. The estimated ERs for the current MP have been cross checked from the ex-ante ER calculation sheet where the calculations were found to be in line with the applied methodology. Therefore, the ex-ante emission reductions have been appropriately reported on the revised MR.

2. The value of the parameter, Specific Fuel Saving from an individual stove ( $P_{p,b,y}$ ) has been revised to 0.003892 under section E.1 and E.2 inline with the revised ER calculation sheet, cell no. H35, "Assumption" worksheet. The parameter was calculated in line with the applied methodology.

Thus,  
CAR#01 is closed.

**Table 4. FAR from this verification**

FAR ID	NA	Section No.	NA	Date : DD/MM/YYYY
<b>Description of FAR</b>				
NA				
<b>Project participant response</b>				Date : DD/MM/YYYY
NA				
<b>Documentation provided by project participant</b>				
NA				
<b>VVB assessment</b>				Date: DD/MM/YYYY
NA				

there is no FAR from this verification.